Country	Kingdom of Thailand		
Project	SRT Signalling Improvement and Modernization Project (hereinafter referred to as Signalling Project)		
	Train Dispatcher Telephone Improvement & Passenger Coaches Procurement Project (See Note. Hereinafter referred to as Telephone Project)		
Borrower	State Railway of Thailand (SRT)		
Executing Agency	State Railway of Thailand (SRT)		
	Signalling Project	Telephone Project	
Exchange of Notes	June 1983	July 1984	
Loan Agreement	September 1983	September 1984	
Loan Amount	¥12,800 million	¥1,771 million	
Loan Disbursed Amount	¥7,433 million	¥524 million	

Project Summary and OECF Portion

The signalling project aims to raise the efficiency and safety of train traffic by modernizing the aging signalling and interlocking and block systems of the SRT. The telephone project aims to achieve efficient train operations, reduce train delays, and improve safety by setting up new dispatcher consoles and DC power supply. The ODA loan covers all the foreign-currency costs related to the two above-described projects.

The ODA four covers art the foreign-cu		1 0	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
Comparison of Original Plan Plan		Actual			
and Actual					
(1)Project Scope					
Singling Project					
1 Installation of color light signals 328 stations		109 stations			
2 Improvement of block system 223 sections		116 sections			
3 Consulting services		Same as left			
Bidding management, execution and m	aintenance,				
settlement of mid and long-term system					
Telephone Project					
1 Installation of dispatcher console 13 places		14 places			
2 Installation of DC power supply 11 place		14 places			
3 Installation of slave telephone with power unit 518 sets		518 sets			
l service of the port	210 300				
(2) Implementation Schedule					
Signalling Project					
Selection of consultant	1983/ 7~1983/ 9	Selection of consultant	1983/11~1984/ 9		
Bidding preparation	1983/10~1983/12	Bidding preparation	1984/10~1988/ 5		
Bidding evaluation/contract	1984/ 1~1984/ 7	Bidding evaluation/contract	1988/ 5~1988/12		
Installation/execution of materials and equipment		Installation/execution of materials			
instantation/execution of materials and ex	1984/10~1988/ 1	and equipment	1989/ 4~1994/ 6		
Consulting service	1984/10~1988/ 2	Consulting service	1989/ 4~1994/ 7		
Consulting service	170 1/10 1700/ 2	Consulting service	1707/ 1 177/1/		
Telephone Project					
Selection of consultant	1984/ 6~1984/ 9	Selection of consultant	1984/ 6~1985/ 9		
Bidding preparation	1984/10~1984/12	Bidding preparation	1985/10~1986/12		
Bidding evaluation/contract	1985/ 1~1985/ 5	Bidding evaluation/contract	1986/12~1987/ 7		
Installation/execution of materials and equipment		Installation/execution of materials			
mstariation/execution of materials and ex	1985/ 7~1987/ 4	and equipment	1987/12~1990/11		
Consulting service	1985/ 7~1987/ 4	Consulting service	1988/ 1~1991/ 2		
Consulting service	1703/ 171701/ 4	Consulting service	1700/ 1~1771/ 2		
(3)Project Cost					
Signalling Project (Exchange rate : 1 Baht=\frac{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{Baht}}}}}{10.0}		(Exchange rate: 1 Baht=\frac{44.9}			
Total project cost \$16,760 million		¥8.630 million			
Foreign currency portion (covered by ODA loan)		¥7,433 million			
1 steigh cultoney portion (covered by Of	¥12,800 million	+1,733 minion	•		
Local currency portion 396 million Baht		258 million Baht			
Telephone Project (Exchange rate: 1 Baht=¥10.1)		(Exchange rate: 1 Baht=\(\frac{4}{2}\).			
Total project cost \$2,182 million		¥644 million			
Foreign currency portion (covered by ODA loan)		¥524 million			
1 oreign currency portion (covered by Or	¥1,771 million	102 i minion			
Local currency portion	41 million Baht	23 million Bah	t		
Local currency portion	-TI IIIIIIOII Daiit	25 minion Dan			

Analysis and Evaluation

(1) Project Scope

The signalling project made a partial revision of the project scope shortly after its implementation began. Since the project's total local-currency cost (Baht) rose above the ceiling set by Royal Thai Government due to the Yen appreciation, the project scope was further reduced. The reduction of the project scope was done by dividing the project into packages based on the priority level, and the packages with the highest priority were executed without delay. The telephone project was, by and large, implemented according to plan.

(2) Implementation Schedule

The signalling project was completed with a 77-month delay. This delay was due to a delay in the bidding preparation stage and a major delay caused by the inadequate capability of the contractors in the construction stage. The completion of the telephone project was delayed by 46 months for similar reasons.

(3) Project Cost

The costs of both projects fell within the allocated budget. The foreign-currency (Yen) cost of the signalling project turned out to be considerably lower than the planned amount, due largely to the reduction in the project scope due to local-currency ceiling restrictions.

(4) Implementation Scheme

There were no particular problems in the case of the executing agency (SRT). Nor were there major problems in the ability of the consultants. However, there were problems in the case of the contractors, both in terms of technology and materials management, during the construction stage, and which became a major cause for construction delays.

(5) Operations and Maintenance

Maintenance of signalling equipment is performed by maintenance engineers in the Signalling and Telecommunications Department of SRT. That of dispatcher telephone equipment is done by Mechanical Engineering Department. No problems were detected at the time of this evaluation.

Project Effects and Impacts

Both projects have solidly contributed to expanding the rail traffic volume, raising operational efficiency, and improving safety.

Notes

Of the projects that were evaluated, "Telephone project" was about one aspect of the Train Dispatcher Telephone Improvement & Passenger Coaches Procurement Project, namely dispatching telephone improvement. The listed figures, such as the approved loan amount, correspond to the telephone project part.

Report Date: March 1998 (Field Survey: July 1997)