

From MDG to SDG

-Way Forward for Agriculture Development-

November, 2018 Senior Advisor for Agriculture Policy, JICA-HQ Shunichi NAKADA



Table of contents

(Background)

- 1. Why SDG goal 2?
- 2. What is the difference between MDG and SDG

(Strengthen in Japanese experience)

3. Japanese experience in Food and Nutrition Security

(Contribution of advanced technology tackling SDG2)

- 4. Focus area in SDG2
- 5. How we try to address Food and nutrition security? (three case)



1. WHY WE NEED TO WORK ON SDG GOAL 2?





SDG Goal2

Sustainable Development Goal 2

 End hunger, achieve food security and improved nutrition and promote sustainable agriculture



Why SDG goal 2?

- 1. Stable supply of safe and nutritious food is fundamental for all human activity
- 2. Nevertheless, 800 million population still suffer from hunger
- 3. Meanwhile, agriculture is single biggest source of income in developing country supporting 40% of employment
- 4. Number of natural calamity are growing due to climate change

Impact of nutritional improvement

Loss of opportunity

- Nearly half of all deaths in children under
 5 are attributable to undernutrition*1
- Lower cognitive/physical ability limits employment opportunity
- Chronic disease increase the burden of medical cost

11% Loss of GDP (Asia and Africa) *2

*1: Progress for Children (UNICEF, 2015) *2 : GNR 2014, (IFPRI)

Impact of nutritional improvement

- ✓ \$1 investment against stunting returns \$16 benefit (<u>40 low/medium</u> <u>income countries</u>)
- ✓ Breastfeed over 12 month (Brazil)
 → Increased income by 33%
- ✓ One extra cm of height (<u>multi-</u> <u>country</u>)
 - \rightarrow Increased wage by 4.5%





<Case in Peru: "My Future in My First Centimeters - World Bank>



800 million people still suffer from hunger

The changing distribution of hunger in the world: numbers and shares of undernourished people by region, 1990–92 and 2014–16

1990–92	2014-16		Nun (mill	nber lions)	Region (%	al share %)
			1990–92	2014–16	1990–92	2014–16
F G I A	H	A Developed regions	20	15	2.0	1.8
EB	F G I A D	B Southern Asia	291	281	28.8	35.4
		🧿 Sub-Saharan Africa	176	220	17.4	27.7
		Eastern Asia	295	145	29.2	18.3
		🕒 South-Eastern Asia	138	61	13.6	7.6
		Latin America and the Caribbean	66	34	6.5	4.3
		🜀 Western Asia	8	19	0.8	2.4
		🚯 Northern Africa	6	4	0.6	0.5
c	C	Caucasus and Central Asia	10	6	0.9	0.7
		Oceania	1	1	0.1	0.2
Total = 1 010 million	(Total = 795 million)	Total	1 011	795	100	100

Note: The areas of the pie charts are proportional to the total number of undernourished in each period. Data for 2014–16 refer to provisional estimates. All figures are rounded. Source: FAO.





Source : The World Bank - 2014 Copyright © Actualitix.com All rights reserved



In 2007, 80% of the major armed conflicts that affected society occurred in vulnerable dry ecosystems

Desertification vulnerability in Africa (2008)

Conflicts and food riots in Africa 2007-2008

Terrorist Attacks 2012



These three maps of Africa vividly show the concentrations of past terrorist attacks, food riots and other conflicts in areas that are vulnerable to desertification.



Increased risk by natural calamity associated with Climate change



Source: https://www.wfp.org/climate-change/climate-impacts/

10



2. WHAT IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MDG AND SDG?



Food and Nutrition discussion in international community after MDG





Difference between MDG and SDG



Focus on rural vulnerable (no one left behind)
 Emphasized nutrition aspect



- During last decade, one of the biggest incident related to food and agriculture was "Food crisis in 2007/2008" which was caused by multiple factor
- 2. This incident send a series of fundamental question to international community including "human carrying capacity of the earth", "land grabbing", "safety net for price fluctuation"
- Meanwhile, after the Lancet release series of scientific paper in 2007, nutrition became attraction of international community and regarded as core issue in development
- 4. SDG was discussed based on the above situation and put emphasis on several issues such as "nutrition", "resilience", of "rural vulnerable"
- 5. This is actually one of the biggest challenge we have faced, "focusing rural vulnerable people, relying on rain-fed agriculture under vulnerable environment"



3. JAPANESE EXPERIENCE IN FOOD AND NUTRITION SECURITY



3.1 Recovery from serious hunger after WW2



① USA, UNICEF, NGO: 5.7 million ton of rice/wheat/barley in 6 years, ② school lunch resumption for 3 million student with LARA assistance ③ Nationwide school lunch program, ④ livelihood improvement movement started, ⑤ bad dietary balance (world highest cereal dependency), ⑥ accelerated fertilizer production, rice frontier expansion to north, cold torelant farming technology



3.1.1 Food Aid

- 1. At the first stage of recovery, foreign aid showed significant contribution
- 2. 5.7 million tons of cereal (cereal, wheat, barley) were provided in 6 years UNICEF, USA, International NGOs
- 3. School lunch were also resumed with the support from foreign aid





1. Land reform

- Released farmland from landowner to tenant farmer at minimal cost (7,570JPY/ha = 1 ton of rice)
- 2. Accelerated production / utilization of fertilizer
 - "priority production system" for focusing a major part of the available material, financial, and labor resources to the target
- 3. Rice frontier expansion to Northern Japan
- 4. Cold tolerant rice farming technology

Contributing factors for rice productivity growth







- After WW2, Japanese satisfy its energy requirement mostly from rice.
- High volume of rice consumption was associated with high amount of salt, which cause high blood pressure, stomach cancer.
- Nutrition education and cooking guidance under livelihood improvement movement, together with diversified food supply gradually changed diet pattern.
- However, it took more than 20 years to reach to the optimal diet balance. And now, 30 year after attainment of optimal balance, Japanese diet is again became imbalanced. This time, excess fat because of westernized diet.

国際協力機構

 Currently Japanese gov. conducts national program called "shoku-iku" (food education) to promote well balanced Japanese style diet.



3.2.1. Livelihood improvement movement "KAIZEN in daily life"

- 1. Community development focused on women group
- 2. Covers all aspect of livelihood: Nutrition, household condition, labor saving, income generation
- 3. Make best use of local resources
- 4. Problem solving by themselves



Livelihood improvement movement





Livelihood improvement movement



Activities under Life improvement program includes,

- Group based cooking to reduce women labor and improve nutrition
- At that time, no budget was available for activities and thus, extension officer classified activities into 3 category (1) with capital, 2) w/o capital, 3) capital generating) and advised women group to make implementable plan



3.2.2. School lunch

- 1. 1889: School lunch started, but halted during WW2
- 2. 1947: Resumed for 3 million children in large city with a support from foreign aid
- 3. 1954: School lunch operated as National program
- 4. School lunch became a major driving force to promote wheat bread and dairy products in Japan





3.2.3. Maternal and Child recording book

- 1. It started in 1942 as health care guidance during pregnancy to reduce death birth
- 2. With its privilege to get extra ration, it was rapidly promoted to pregnant women (over 70% in a few years)
- 3. After WW2, the coverage was expanded to include child care after delivery
- 4. It includes 1) health care guidance, 2) health care check list, 3) record of growth and health service





3.2.4. Crop diversification after 1960's

- 1. Establishment of research network (6 National Ag. Research institutes)
- 2. Variety introduction
 - (Public) More than 200 vegetable varieties from around the world
 - (Private) Promotion of high yielding F1 varieties
- 3. Year round supply system
 - Varieties (early / late maturing)
 - Cultivation practice (greenhouse, tunnel, Nursery)
 - Shifting cultivation (North-South, High-Low elevation)
 - Storage / Transportation (cold chain, packaging)
- 4. Agricultural basic law (1961)
 - Selective expansion of agriculture (shift from rice based agriculture toward diversified Ag. -> horticulture, livestock)

26









28



- 1. Foreign Aid contributed significantly to quick recovery from serious hunger
- 2. Following foreign aid, different intervention have been made from agriculture, community worker, health care, education to become self-reliant
- 3. Even after overcoming critical stage of undernutrition, Japan have been facing issue of imbalanced diet
- 4. Again, it was improved with the intervention from multiple sector. However it took several decades to change dietary habit of Japanese
- 5. Japan still has nutrition issues. This time, overnutrition due to westernized diet is an emerging challenge



4. FOCUS AREA IN SDG2







Four pillars of food safety: Proposed and agreed in Word Food Summit (1995)

国際協力機構

JICA's focus on SDG goal 2

	Japanese experience	Priority are of action				
Availability	Variety development, Crop production technology, Irrigation, Aquaculture	Development planning survey, Rice farming (CARD), Irrigation, Post harvest, Aquaculture				
Accessibility	Crop diversification Food safety OVOP	SHEP, Value addition, SPS, Pesticide management, Quality certification system				
Utilization	Livelihood Improvement Maternal & child recording book, School feeding, Nutritionist	IFNA Livelihood improvement Maternal & child recording book				
Stability	Pond irrigation, Farm guide in accordance with weather forecast	Drought resistant technology Water saving irrigation Crop insurance				
CARD: Coalition for African Rice Development SHEP: Smallholder Horticulture Empowerment and Promotion						

IFNA: Initiative for Food and Nutrition Security in Africa



5. HOW WE TRY TO ADDRESS FOOD AND NUTRITION SECURITY? (THREE CASE)



How we try to address Food and nutrition security?

Apply old approach to a new challenge

5.1 Nutrition

Livelihood improvement

Tackle to the challenge through research & innovation

5.2 Productivity

Development of crop genotype suited for local environment through innovative approach

5.3 Resilience

Sustainable Food Production and Efficient Water Use by Advanced Aquaponics



5.1 LIVELIHOOD IMPROVEMENT (KAIZEN) - APPLY OLD APPROACH TO A NEW CHALLENGE -

WHY NUTRITION BECOME A PROBLEM?

- Food is limited due to low productivity
- Staple is satisfied, but dietary diversity is limited
- Lack of purchasing power for nutritious food
- Knowledge in nutrition is limited
- hygiene and sanitation
- ⇒ there could be many reason, However we can also observe another situation in Africa





[Another case: Cyuve District in Rwanda] Land development project: Maize/wheat production × 6 times! Potato/cassava production × 3 times! ⇒ Income 60% increase Farmer have enough amount of food Nevertheless, stunting in this area is stagnant around 44–66% ("Understanding Rwanda's puzzling nutrition paradox"(2015) by International Center for Tropical Agriculture(CIAT)) ⇒ Production / income only cannot improve nutrition! CASE:

NUTRITION IMPROVEMENT THROUGH "LIVELIHOOD IMPROVEMENT" IN MADAGASCAR

- <u>Target Area</u>: Bongolava, Vakinankaratra, Itasy(Central highland)
- Implementation cycle:
- Prompt implementation after farmer introductory training
 - Problem/gap assessment: root cause of the identified issue?, 3M(Muda, muri, mura)?, resource(time, money, food) availability?,
 - 2. Action planning: Start from simple action which does not require extra resource. Seek for technical advice as required
 - 3. Action implementation
 - 4. Action evaluation: including discussion of next action
- Continue cycle 1-4
- "Action" is called as "Kaizen (progressive improvement)"

ORIGIN OF "LIVELIHOOD IMPROVEMENT"

Initiated during WW2 recovery process

 similarity with current challenges in Africa

Focusing on "total quality of life"

Implemented through "Women extension worker" Special focus on vulnerable rural women

 KAIZEN: production management system of world leading automobile manufacture, "TOYOTA"

Five principle in Livelihood improvement

- 1 Dual track approach: Agricultural productivity and total quality of life
- 2 Fostering Self reliant farmer: to identify problem and solution by themselves, without relying on external support
- 3 Make most use of locally available resource, start from what you can do now (limited budget is not an excuse)
- Progressive approach (not an innovation!): start from small & easy step, followed by next action (Spiral implementation)
- 5 recommend group based approach: to share idea, capital, and labor, but not mandatory

Five principle in Livelihood improvement
 Balance between productivity and quality of life
 Two wheel on the axle to achieve poverty reduction

Productivity=

Crop production
Other income generation
Ag. inputs (ex. Seed, fertilizer, machinery)

<u>Quality of life</u>=

Nutrition, Health & care, literacy, water, environment, neighborhood safety, healthy, lifestyle



Five principle in Livelihood improvement

- **2** SELF RELIANT FARMER
- Identify problems from daily life, analyze it and find solution by themselves
- Do not rely on external support (government, donnor)
- make use of what is available, start from what they can do now by themselves (start from small and easy action)
- spiral implementation of the above process

WHAT IS **3M**? **oMUDA** (= waste) (save time, labor, resource) **o**MURI (= beyond the limits) (lack of feasibility, overwork) oMURA (= uneven performance) (planning, household budget management)

Five principle in Livelihood improvement (3) progressive implementation (spiral approach)

Spiral approach based on PDCA

- Plan
- > Do
- Check
- > Act

Three category of activity from (1) to (3)







COMPATIBILITY BETWEEN "LIVELIHOOD IMPROVEMENT" AND "NUTRITION"

- Improve production and life through combined approach Production is necessary, but not sufficient condition.
 Activity in daily life including, "selection of food", "cooking", "dietary practice", "hygiene practice" have to be added to achieve final nutrition target
- Activity focused on women in household
 Women (mother) = key actor for HH nutrition improvement
 Women's common interest = child health, cooking, shopping
- Multi sectoral activity

Nutrition improvement is multi sectoral in nature

 Conduct "trial and error" from different aspect
 Impact of nutrition improvement is not easily visible at early stage. Livelihood improvement can try variable
 approach through spiral implementation **NUTRITION IMPROVEMENT THROUGH "LIVELIHOOD IMPROVEMENT"** [Direct impact]

- Nutrition education at community level
 Foster nutrition trainer in a community through training by health department
- Cooking recipe development

Focus on taste rather than Nutrition

- Food processing (preservation/value add dried food, cheese, groundnut oil, cassava powder
- Kitchen garden

work with nutrition department

• Fish farming

Tilapia, Carp

- •Livestock (poultry, swine) Bottleneck = Vaccination
- Seek for local nutritious food resource Moringa, silkworm
- School feeding











NUTRITION IMPROVEMENT THROUGH "LIVELIHOOD IMPROVEMENT"

[Indirect impact]

- •well
- toilet installation
- Wash campaign

$\langle\!\!\!\langle Income \ generation \rangle\!\!\!\rangle$



《Environment》

afforestation (for firewood)
 《Women empowerment》

Literacy education

small business for women
HH budget management

《develop kitchen tool》

- Improved cook stove/Solar cooker
- •grass char
- Grater
- •Oil expresser







WHAT IS REQUIRED FOR NUTRITION IMPROVEMENT - MULTI SECTORAL NATURE OF LIVELIHOOD IMPROVEMENT -





5.2 PRODUCTIVITY

PROJECT FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF CROP GENOTYPES FOR THE MIDLANDS AND MOUNTAIN AREAS OF NORTH VIETNAM



Project concept

Challenge: Narrowing gap between Urban and Rural

Challenges of rice production in the midland and mountain areas of North Vietnam

•Low yield

•Pest & disease

•Non uniform varieties

• Lack of suitable varieties

Activity

Science and Technology Research Partnership for Sustainable Development

Output 1: Breeding method is improved using high-throughout genotyping technology Output 2: Promising line with short growth duration, high yielding, and disease and insect resistance are developed

Output 3: Eco-physiology of promising line is characterized

Project purpose: Rice breeding system is strengthened to develop promising lines adapting for natural and socio-economic conditions in the midlands and mountain areas of North Vietnam

short growth duration (10 days shorter)
High yielding (5-10%)
disease resistance, cold tolerance

〇地域環境に適した米の安定生産 〇早生イネ品種導入による多毛作 〇稲作収入増による貧困削減



Output 1: Breeding method is improved using high-throughout genotyping technology

Challenge1: Conventional breeding technology require number of years



<u>Activity</u>

- Genetic survey to identify useful genes
- Optimize DNA Marker Assisted Selection by high-throughout genotyping technology
- > Shuttle breeding making use of high temperature in Mekong delta

DNA marker assisted selection reduce period of breeding cycle dramatically





Shuttle breeding also shorten period of field test by half



Output 2: Promising line with short growth duration, high yielding, and disease and insect resistance are developed

<u>Challenge2: Cool temperature in Northern mountainous area allow one</u> production season during a year, resulted in low productivity

Activities

- Develop promising lines with single useful genes
- Accumulate useful genes (pyramiding) in promising lines
- Evaluate phenotypical traits of promising lines





Output 3: Eco-physiology of promising line is characterized

<u>Challenge2: Cool temperature in Northern mountainous area allow one</u> production season during a year, resulted in low productivity



Activities

- Characterize physiological property of available and newly developed lines
- > Test ecological adaptability of available and newly developed lines
- Compile information for recommended cultivation method of promising lines









Impact of the project

short growth duration (10 days shorter)
High yielding (5-10%)
disease resistance, cold tolerance



Newly identified two breeding lines have already introduced to 500ha of farm in Northern Vietnam in 2016





5.3 RESILIENCE

SUSTAINABLE FOOD PRODUCTION AND EFFICIENT WATER USE BY ADVANCED AQUAPONICS WITH FISH AND CROPS ADAPTING TO ARID REGIONS IN MEXICO



 Establishing new aquaponics adapting to arid region

2 Capacity Development

Current water use





[Environmental Conservation] Efficient water use ! Less salinity !
[Food Production] Sustainable food production !



Use of salinized irrigation water \rightarrow Aquaponics \rightarrow Water culture \rightarrow Field culture Efficient water use desalinization Food production

Irrigation water	Aquzculture	Water culture	Field culture					
Salinized	Adapting species	Salt-loving crops	Valuable crops					
Salts	constant	decrease	irrigation					
N•P etc.	increase	decrease						
Water reuse C Efficient water use								
Salt-loving crops								
Manual publication 🖒 Progressing popularization								



Thank you very much!

Any question / feedback?

Shunichi NAKADA Nakada.Shunichi.2@jica.go.jp