

**Primary Check
On
Request for Review of Bid Evaluation Results**

Country: **Loan Agreement No.:**
Project Name:
Procurement Lot No. and Description:

1. Observations & Recommendations on the Bid Evaluation Results

As shown in Attachment-3.

Attachment-1: List of Bidders and their Evaluation Results
Attachment-2: Description and Analysis on the Bid Evaluation
Attachment-3: Observations on the Bid Evaluation

[NOTE] Wording of primary checker's comment

Primary checker's comments are always expressed as "recommended" regardless of the significance of the matter.

If JICA office intends to share the primary checker's comment to EA, the wording may need to be changed depending on the content.

e.g.

“It is recommended” → ”It should be

Notes) Definition of Importance Level

Level III : (Clauses/provisions/evaluation results proposed by the Borrower are) Not acceptable in light of the philosophy or contents of the Guidelines

Level II : (ditto) Undesirable to accept in light of the philosophy or contents of the Guidelines and likely to cause important consequence on the process or results of the bid/selection

Level I : (ditto) Undesirable to accept in light of the philosophy or contents of the Guidelines and may have certain impact on the process or results of the bid/selection

Level E: Observation on minor errors such as misspelling, grammatical errors, inadequate numbering, inappropriate terms