

JICA Climate-FIT
Version 6.0

Appendices

| | | |
|-------------|---|----|
| Table 1 | Net Caloric Value of Fuels | 2 |
| Table 2 | CO ₂ Emission Factors of Fuels..... | 4 |
| Table 3 | Grid Emission Factors | 6 |
| Table 4 | CO ₂ Emission Factors for Standalone Power Generation | 11 |
| Table 5 | Default Values of Boiler Efficiencies..... | 11 |
| Table 6 | CO ₂ Emission Factors for Different Transport Modes | 12 |
| Table 7 | CO ₂ Emission Factors in g-CO ₂ /t·km for Different Transport Modes..... | 12 |
| Table 8 (1) | Fraction of degradable organic carbon by waste type (DOC _j) | 13 |
| Table 8 (2) | Fraction of degradable organic carbon which decomposes by waste type (DOC _{f,j}) | 13 |
| Table 9(1) | Methane Correction Factor (for SWDS) | 14 |
| Table 9(2) | Methane Correction Factor (for wastewater) | 15 |
| Table 10 | Decay Rates of Wastes | 16 |
| Table 11 | Degradable Organic Content of the Untreated Sludge..... | 16 |
| Table 12 | Default Quantity of Waste Disposed in the SWDS (W _y) | 17 |
| Table A-1 | Default values of biomass conversion and expansion factors (BCEF) | 18 |
| Table A-2 | Carbon fraction of aboveground forest biomass (CF) | 19 |
| Table A-3 | Above-ground net biomass growth in natural forests (t-d.m./ha/year) | 20 |
| Table A-4 | Above-ground net biomass growth in forest plantations (t-d.m./ha/year) | 25 |
| Table A-5 | Average belowground to aboveground biomass ratio (Root-Shoot ratio) (R) | |
| | 28 | |
| Table A-6 | CO ₂ Emissions from Fertilizer, Leakkages and Effects of GHG emissions Reduction | 32 |
| Table A-7 | Examples of Stratification in Afforestation Projects (CDM Project) | 33 |
| Table A-8 | Example of Stratification for Deforestation and Forest Degradation Projects | |
| | 35 | |

Table 1 Net Caloric Value of Fuels

| TABLE 1.2 DEFAULT NET CALORIFIC VALUES (NCVs) AND LOWER AND UPPER LIMITS OF THE 95% CONFIDENCE INTERVALS ¹ | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|-------|
| Fuel type English description | | Net calorific value (TJ/Gg) | Lower | Upper |
| Crude Oil | | 42.3 | 40.1 | 44.8 |
| Orimulsion | | 27.5 | 27.5 | 28.3 |
| Natural Gas Liquids | | 44.2 | 40.9 | 46.9 |
| Gasoline | Motor Gasoline | 44.3 | 42.5 | 44.8 |
| | Aviation Gasoline | 44.3 | 42.5 | 44.8 |
| | Jet Gasoline | 44.3 | 42.5 | 44.8 |
| Jet Kerosene | | 44.1 | 42.0 | 45.0 |
| Other Kerosene | | 43.8 | 42.4 | 45.2 |
| Shale Oil | | 38.1 | 32.1 | 45.2 |
| Gas/Diesel Oil | | 43.0 | 41.4 | 43.3 |
| Residual Fuel Oil | | 40.4 | 39.8 | 41.7 |
| Liquefied Petroleum Gases | | 47.3 | 44.8 | 52.2 |
| Ethane | | 46.4 | 44.9 | 48.8 |
| Naphtha | | 44.5 | 41.8 | 46.5 |
| Bitumen | | 40.2 | 33.5 | 41.2 |
| Lubricants | | 40.2 | 33.5 | 42.3 |
| Petroleum Coke | | 32.5 | 29.7 | 41.9 |
| Refinery Feedstocks | | 43.0 | 36.3 | 46.4 |
| Other Oil | Refinery Gas ² | 49.5 | 47.5 | 50.6 |
| | Paraffin Waxes | 40.2 | 33.7 | 48.2 |
| | White Spirit and SBP | 40.2 | 33.7 | 48.2 |
| | Other Petroleum Products | 40.2 | 33.7 | 48.2 |
| Anthracite | | 26.7 | 21.6 | 32.2 |
| Coking Coal | | 28.2 | 24.0 | 31.0 |
| Other Bituminous Coal | | 25.8 | 19.9 | 30.5 |
| Sub-Bituminous Coal | | 18.9 | 11.5 | 26.0 |
| Lignite | | 11.9 | 5.50 | 21.6 |
| Oil Shale and Tar Sands | | 8.9 | 7.1 | 11.1 |
| Brown Coal Briquettes | | 20.7 | 15.1 | 32.0 |
| Patent Fuel | | 20.7 | 15.1 | 32.0 |
| Coke | Coke Oven Coke and Lignite Coke | 28.2 | 25.1 | 30.2 |
| | Gas Coke | 28.2 | 25.1 | 30.2 |
| Coal Tar ³ | | 28.0 | 14.1 | 55.0 |
| Derived Gases | Gas Works Gas ⁴ | 38.7 | 19.6 | 77.0 |
| | Coke Oven Gas ⁵ | 38.7 | 19.6 | 77.0 |
| | Blast Furnace Gas ⁶ | 2.47 | 1.20 | 5.00 |
| | Oxygen Steel Furnace Gas ⁷ | 7.06 | 3.80 | 15.0 |
| Natural Gas | | 48.0 | 46.5 | 50.4 |
| Municipal Wastes (non-biomass fraction) | | 10 | 7 | 18 |
| Industrial Wastes | | NA | NA | NA |
| Waste Oil ⁸ | | 40.2 | 20.3 | 80.0 |
| Peat | | 9.76 | 7.80 | 12.5 |

Source: 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, IPCC, Volume 2: Energy, Chapter 1: Introduction, Table 1.2

TABLE 1.2 (CONTINUED)
DEFAULT NET CALORIFIC VALUES (NCVS) AND LOWER AND UPPER LIMITS OF THE 95% CONFIDENCE INTERVALS¹

| Fuel type English description | Net calorific value (TJ/Gg) | Lower | Upper |
|-------------------------------|--|-------|-------|
| Solid Biofuels | Wood/Wood Waste ⁹ | 15.6 | 7.90 |
| | Sulphite lyes (black liquor) ¹⁰ | 11.8 | 5.90 |
| | Other Primary Solid Biomass ¹¹ | 11.6 | 5.90 |
| | Charcoal ¹² | 29.5 | 14.9 |
| Liquid Biofuels | Biogasoline ¹³ | 27.0 | 13.6 |
| | Biodiesels ¹⁴ | 27.0 | 13.6 |
| | Other Liquid Biofuels ¹⁵ | 27.4 | 13.8 |
| Gas Biomass | Landfill Gas ¹⁶ | 50.4 | 25.4 |
| | Sludge Gas ¹⁷ | 50.4 | 25.4 |
| | Other Biogas ¹⁸ | 50.4 | 25.4 |
| Other non-fossil fuels | Municipal Wastes (biomass fraction) | 11.6 | 6.80 |

Notes:

¹ The lower and upper limits of the 95 percent confidence intervals, assuming lognormal distributions, fitted to a dataset, based on national inventory reports, IEA data and available national data. A more detailed description is given in section 1.5.

² Japanese data; uncertainty range: expert judgement

³ EFDB; uncertainty range: expert judgement

⁴ Coke Oven Gas; uncertainty range: expert judgement

⁵⁻⁷Japan and UK small number data; uncertainty range: expert judgement

⁸ For waste oils the values of "Lubricants" are taken

⁹ EFDB; uncertainty range: expert judgement

¹⁰Japanese data ; uncertainty range: expert judgement

¹¹Solid Biomass; uncertainty range: expert judgement

¹²EFDB; uncertainty range: expert judgement

¹³⁻¹⁴Ethanol theoretical number; uncertainty range: expert judgement;

¹⁵Liquid Biomass; uncertainty range: expert judgement

¹⁶⁻¹⁸Methane theoretical number uncertainty range: expert judgement;

Source: 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, IPCC, Volume 2: Energy, Chapter 1: Introduction, Table 1.2

Table 2 CO₂ Emission Factors of Fuels

| TABLE 1.4 DEFAULT CO ₂ EMISSION FACTORS FOR COMBUSTION ¹ | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| Fuel type English description | | Default carbon content (kg/GJ) | Default carbon oxidation factor | Effective CO ₂ emission factor (kg/TJ) ² | |
| | | | | Default value ³ | 95% confidence interval |
| | A | B | C=A+B ^{44/12*1000} | Lower | Upper |
| Crude Oil | 20.0 | 1 | 73 300 | 71 100 | 75 500 |
| Orimulsion | 21.0 | 1 | 77 000 | 69 300 | 85 400 |
| Natural Gas Liquids | 17.5 | 1 | 64 200 | 58 300 | 70 400 |
| Gasoline | Motor Gasoline | 18.9 | 1 | 69 300 | 67 500 73 000 |
| | Aviation Gasoline | 19.1 | 1 | 70 000 | 67 500 73 000 |
| | Jet Gasoline | 19.1 | 1 | 70 000 | 67 500 73 000 |
| Jet Kerosene | 19.5 | 1 | 71 500 | 69 700 | 74 400 |
| Other Kerosene | 19.6 | 1 | 71 900 | 70 800 | 73 700 |
| Shale Oil | 20.0 | 1 | 73 300 | 67 800 | 79 200 |
| Gas/Diesel Oil | 20.2 | 1 | 74 100 | 72 600 | 74 800 |
| Residual Fuel Oil | 21.1 | 1 | 77 400 | 75 500 | 78 800 |
| Liquefied Petroleum Gases | 17.2 | 1 | 63 100 | 61 600 | 65 600 |
| Ethane | 16.8 | 1 | 61 600 | 56 500 | 68 600 |
| Naphtha | 20.0 | 1 | 73 300 | 69 300 | 76 300 |
| Bitumen | 22.0 | 1 | 80 700 | 73 000 | 89 900 |
| Lubricants | 20.0 | 1 | 73 300 | 71 900 | 75 200 |
| Petroleum Coke | 26.6 | 1 | 97 500 | 82 900 | 115 000 |
| Refinery Feedstocks | 20.0 | 1 | 73 300 | 68 900 | 76 600 |
| Other Oil | Refinery Gas | 15.7 | 1 | 57 600 | 48 200 69 000 |
| | Paraffin Waxes | 20.0 | 1 | 73 300 | 72 200 74 400 |
| | White Spirit & SBP | 20.0 | 1 | 73 300 | 72 200 74 400 |
| Other Petroleum Products | 20.0 | 1 | 73 300 | 72 200 | 74 400 |
| Anthracite | 26.8 | 1 | 98 300 | 94 600 | 101 000 |
| Coking Coal | 25.8 | 1 | 94 600 | 87 300 | 101 000 |
| Other Bituminous Coal | 25.8 | 1 | 94 600 | 89 500 | 99 700 |
| Sub-Bituminous Coal | 26.2 | 1 | 96 100 | 92 800 | 100 000 |
| Lignite | 27.6 | 1 | 101 000 | 90 900 | 115 000 |
| Oil Shale and Tar Sands | 29.1 | 1 | 107 000 | 90 200 | 125 000 |
| Brown Coal Briquettes | 26.6 | 1 | 97 500 | 87 300 | 109 000 |
| Patent Fuel | 26.6 | 1 | 97 500 | 87 300 | 109 000 |
| Coke | Coke oven coke and lignite Coke | 29.2 | 1 | 107 000 | 95 700 119 000 |
| | Gas Coke | 29.2 | 1 | 107 000 | 95 700 119 000 |
| Coal Tar | | 22.0 | 1 | 80 700 | 68 200 95 300 |
| Derived Gases | Gas Works Gas | 12.1 | 1 | 44 400 | 37 300 54 100 |
| | Coke Oven Gas | 12.1 | 1 | 44 400 | 37 300 54 100 |
| | Blast Furnace Gas ⁴ | 70.8 | 1 | 260 000 | 219 000 308 000 |
| | Oxygen Steel Furnace Gas ⁵ | 49.6 | 1 | 182 000 | 145 000 202 000 |

Source: 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, IPCC, Volume 2: Energy, Chapter 1: Introduction, Table 1.4

| TABLE 1.4 (CONTINUED) DEFAULT CO ₂ EMISSION FACTORS FOR COMBUSTION ¹ | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| Fuel type English description | | Default carbon content (kg/GJ) | Default carbon oxidation Factor | Effective CO ₂ emission factor (kg/TJ) ² | |
| | | | | Default value | 95% confidence interval |
| | A | B | C=A*B*44/12*1000 | Lower | Upper |
| Natural Gas | 15.3 | 1 | 56 100 | 54 300 | 58 300 |
| Municipal Wastes (non-biomass fraction) | 25.0 | 1 | 91 700 | 73 300 | 121 000 |
| Industrial Wastes | 39.0 | 1 | 143 000 | 110 000 | 183 000 |
| Waste Oil | 20.0 | 1 | 73 300 | 72 200 | 74 400 |
| Peat | 28.9 | 1 | 106 000 | 100 000 | 108 000 |
| Solid Biofuels | Wood/Wood Waste | 30.5 | 1 | 112 000 | 95 000 132 000 |
| | Sulphite lyes (black liquor) ⁵ | 26.0 | 1 | 95 300 | 80 700 110 000 |
| | Other Primary Solid Biomass | 27.3 | 1 | 100 000 | 84 700 117 000 |
| | Charcoal | 30.5 | 1 | 112 000 | 95 000 132 000 |
| Liquid Biofuels | Biogasoline | 19.3 | 1 | 70 800 | 59 800 84 300 |
| | Biodiesels | 19.3 | 1 | 70 800 | 59 800 84 300 |
| | Other Liquid Biofuels | 21.7 | 1 | 79 600 | 67 100 95 300 |
| Gas biomass | Landfill Gas | 14.9 | 1 | 54 600 | 46 200 66 000 |
| | Sludge Gas | 14.9 | 1 | 54 600 | 46 200 66 000 |
| | Other Biogas | 14.9 | 1 | 54 600 | 46 200 66 000 |
| Other non-fossil fuels | Municipal Wastes (biomass fraction) | 27.3 | 1 | 100 000 | 84 700 117 000 |

Notes:

¹ The lower and upper limits of the 95 percent confidence intervals, assuming lognormal distributions, fitted to a dataset, based on national inventory reports, IEA data and available national data. A more detailed description is given in section 1.5

² TJ = 1000GJ

³ The emission factor values for BFG includes carbon dioxide originally contained in this gas as well as that formed due to combustion of this gas.

⁴ The emission factor values for OSF includes carbon dioxide originally contained in this gas as well as that formed due to combustion of this gas

⁵ Includes the biomass-derived CO₂ emitted from the black liquor combustion unit and the biomass-derived CO₂ emitted from the kraft mill lime kiln.

Source: 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, IPCC, Volume 2: Energy, Chapter 1: Introduction, Table 1.4

Table 3 Grid Emission Factors

Choose appropriate value of the target country taking into account types of the project such as firm energy (hydro, geothermal etc.), intermittent energy (solar, wind, tidal, etc.), energy efficiency and electricity consumption. In case the updated version of emission factors is provided by IFI TWG (The Technical Working Group of the International Financial Institutions), apply these values.

| | Combined Margin Grid Emission Factor | | | | (t-CO ₂ /MWh) |
|---------------------------------|---|---|----------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| | Firm Energy (e.g., Hydro, Geothermal) | Intermittent Energy (e.g., Solar, Wind, Tidal) | Energy Efficiency | Electricity Consumption | |
| Country / Territory / Island | | | | | |
| Afghanistan | 0.193 | 0.331 | 0.193 | 0.193 | 0.414 |
| Albania | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Algeria | 0.397 | 0.479 | 0.397 | 0.397 | 0.528 |
| American Samoa (U.S.) | 0.516 | 0.664 | 0.516 | 0.516 | 0.753 |
| Andorra | 0.070 | 0.144 | 0.070 | 0.070 | 0.188 |
| Angola | 0.748 | 1.203 | 0.748 | 0.748 | 1.476 |
| Anguilla (U.K.) | 0.472 | 0.647 | 0.472 | 0.472 | 0.753 |
| Antigua and Barbuda | 0.489 | 0.654 | 0.489 | 0.489 | 0.753 |
| Argentina | 0.288 | 0.407 | 0.288 | 0.288 | 0.478 |
| Armenia | 0.205 | 0.321 | 0.205 | 0.205 | 0.390 |
| Aruba | 0.421 | 0.628 | 0.421 | 0.421 | 0.753 |
| Australia | 0.421 | 0.663 | 0.421 | 0.421 | 0.808 |
| Austria | 0.113 | 0.194 | 0.113 | 0.113 | 0.242 |
| Azerbaijan | 0.384 | 0.478 | 0.384 | 0.384 | 0.534 |
| Azores (Portugal) | 0.384 | 0.614 | 0.384 | 0.384 | 0.753 |
| Bahamas | 0.441 | 0.636 | 0.441 | 0.441 | 0.753 |
| Bahrain | 0.454 | 0.624 | 0.454 | 0.454 | 0.726 |
| Bangladesh | 0.412 | 0.484 | 0.412 | 0.412 | 0.528 |
| Barbados | 0.484 | 0.650 | 0.484 | 0.484 | 0.749 |
| Belarus | 0.292 | 0.359 | 0.292 | 0.292 | 0.400 |
| Belgium | 0.124 | 0.204 | 0.124 | 0.124 | 0.252 |
| Belize | 0.183 | 0.320 | 0.183 | 0.183 | 0.403 |
| Benin | 0.576 | 0.682 | 0.576 | 0.576 | 0.745 |
| Bermuda (U.K.) | 0.342 | 0.598 | 0.342 | 0.342 | 0.753 |
| Bhutan | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Bolivia, Plurinational State of | 0.393 | 0.525 | 0.393 | 0.393 | 0.604 |
| Bonaire (Netherland) | 0.400 | 0.620 | 0.400 | 0.400 | 0.753 |
| Bosnia and Herzegovina | 0.739 | 1.025 | 0.739 | 0.739 | 1.197 |
| Botswana | 1.070 | 1.330 | 1.070 | 1.070 | 1.486 |
| Brazil | 0.150 | 0.234 | 0.150 | 0.150 | 0.284 |
| British Virgin Islands (U.K.) | 0.420 | 0.628 | 0.420 | 0.420 | 0.753 |
| Brunei Darussalam | 0.407 | 0.578 | 0.407 | 0.407 | 0.681 |
| Bulgaria | 0.495 | 0.755 | 0.495 | 0.495 | 0.911 |
| Burkina Faso | 0.539 | 0.672 | 0.539 | 0.539 | 0.753 |
| Burundi | 0.197 | 0.333 | 0.197 | 0.197 | 0.414 |
| Cambodia | 0.588 | 0.874 | 0.588 | 0.588 | 1.046 |
| Cameroon | 0.354 | 0.545 | 0.354 | 0.354 | 0.659 |
| Canada | 0.213 | 0.312 | 0.213 | 0.213 | 0.372 |
| Canary Islands (Spain) | 0.435 | 0.633 | 0.435 | 0.435 | 0.753 |
| Cape Verde | 0.505 | 0.660 | 0.505 | 0.505 | 0.753 |
| Cayman Islands | 0.373 | 0.610 | 0.373 | 0.373 | 0.753 |
| Central African Republic | 0.077 | 0.146 | 0.077 | 0.077 | 0.188 |
| Chad | 0.581 | 0.688 | 0.581 | 0.581 | 0.753 |
| Channel Islands (U.K.) | 0.389 | 0.616 | 0.389 | 0.389 | 0.753 |
| Chile | 0.235 | 0.499 | 0.235 | 0.235 | 0.657 |
| China (PRC and Hong Kong) | 0.485 | 0.744 | 0.485 | 0.485 | 0.899 |
| Colombia | 0.208 | 0.334 | 0.208 | 0.208 | 0.410 |
| Comoros | 0.589 | 0.691 | 0.589 | 0.589 | 0.753 |

Appendix

| | Combined Margin Grid Emission Factor | | | | Operating Margin Grid Emission Factor (including for use in PCAF GHG accounting) |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------|-------------------------|--|
| | Firm Energy (e.g., Hydro, Geothermal) | Intermittent Energy (e.g., Solar, Wind, Tidal) | Energy Efficiency | Electricity Consumption | |
| Congo, Democratic Republic of | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Congo, Republic of | 0.405 | 0.564 | 0.405 | 0.405 | 0.659 |
| Cook Islands | 0.422 | 0.628 | 0.422 | 0.422 | 0.753 |
| Costa Rica | 0.039 | 0.082 | 0.039 | 0.039 | 0.108 |
| Côte d'Ivoire | 0.314 | 0.409 | 0.314 | 0.314 | 0.466 |
| Croatia | 0.168 | 0.247 | 0.168 | 0.168 | 0.294 |
| Cuba | 0.391 | 0.496 | 0.391 | 0.391 | 0.559 |
| Curacao/Netherlands Antilles | 0.506 | 0.737 | 0.506 | 0.506 | 0.876 |
| Cyprus | 0.438 | 0.633 | 0.438 | 0.438 | 0.751 |
| Czech Republic | 0.461 | 0.736 | 0.461 | 0.461 | 0.902 |
| Denmark | 0.155 | 0.284 | 0.155 | 0.155 | 0.362 |
| Djibouti | 0.575 | 0.686 | 0.575 | 0.575 | 0.753 |
| Dominica | 0.433 | 0.633 | 0.433 | 0.433 | 0.753 |
| Dominican Republic | 0.426 | 0.536 | 0.426 | 0.426 | 0.601 |
| Ecuador | 0.280 | 0.455 | 0.280 | 0.280 | 0.560 |
| Egypt | 0.406 | 0.498 | 0.406 | 0.406 | 0.554 |
| El Salvador | 0.275 | 0.445 | 0.275 | 0.275 | 0.547 |
| Equatorial Guinea | 0.361 | 0.531 | 0.361 | 0.361 | 0.632 |
| Eritrea | 0.704 | 0.836 | 0.704 | 0.704 | 0.915 |
| Estonia | 0.625 | 0.895 | 0.625 | 0.625 | 1.057 |
| Eswatini | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Ethiopia | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Falkland Islands (U.K.) | 0.316 | 0.589 | 0.316 | 0.316 | 0.753 |
| Faroe Islands (Denmark) | 0.320 | 0.590 | 0.320 | 0.320 | 0.753 |
| Fiji | 0.334 | 0.525 | 0.334 | 0.334 | 0.640 |
| Finland | 0.114 | 0.209 | 0.114 | 0.114 | 0.267 |
| France | 0.068 | 0.124 | 0.068 | 0.068 | 0.158 |
| French Guiana | 0.200 | 0.340 | 0.200 | 0.200 | 0.423 |
| French Polynesia | 0.412 | 0.625 | 0.412 | 0.412 | 0.753 |
| Gabon | 0.533 | 0.791 | 0.533 | 0.533 | 0.946 |
| Gambia | 0.591 | 0.692 | 0.591 | 0.591 | 0.753 |
| Georgia | 0.135 | 0.231 | 0.135 | 0.135 | 0.289 |
| Germany | 0.313 | 0.523 | 0.313 | 0.313 | 0.650 |
| Ghana | 0.276 | 0.413 | 0.276 | 0.276 | 0.495 |
| Gibraltar (U.K.) | 0.369 | 0.625 | 0.369 | 0.369 | 0.779 |
| Greece | 0.346 | 0.447 | 0.346 | 0.346 | 0.507 |
| Greenland | 0.105 | 0.204 | 0.105 | 0.105 | 0.264 |
| Grenada | 0.523 | 0.666 | 0.523 | 0.523 | 0.753 |
| Guadeloupe (France) | 0.433 | 0.633 | 0.433 | 0.433 | 0.753 |
| Guam | 0.428 | 0.631 | 0.428 | 0.428 | 0.753 |
| Guatemala | 0.427 | 0.659 | 0.427 | 0.427 | 0.798 |
| Guinea | 0.460 | 0.643 | 0.460 | 0.460 | 0.753 |
| Guinea-Bissau | 0.577 | 0.687 | 0.577 | 0.577 | 0.753 |
| Guyana | 0.616 | 0.760 | 0.616 | 0.616 | 0.847 |
| Haiti | 0.765 | 0.942 | 0.765 | 0.765 | 1.048 |
| Honduras | 0.359 | 0.548 | 0.359 | 0.359 | 0.662 |
| Hungary | 0.191 | 0.257 | 0.191 | 0.191 | 0.296 |
| Iceland | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| India | 0.608 | 0.822 | 0.608 | 0.608 | 0.951 |
| Indonesia | 0.675 | 0.743 | 0.675 | 0.675 | 0.783 |
| Iran, Islamic Republic of | 0.421 | 0.528 | 0.421 | 0.421 | 0.592 |
| Iraq | 0.788 | 0.971 | 0.788 | 0.788 | 1.080 |
| Ireland | 0.189 | 0.309 | 0.189 | 0.189 | 0.380 |
| Isle of Man (U.K.) | 0.204 | 0.349 | 0.204 | 0.204 | 0.436 |
| Israel | 0.258 | 0.343 | 0.258 | 0.258 | 0.394 |
| Italy | 0.224 | 0.343 | 0.224 | 0.224 | 0.414 |

Appendix

| | Combined Margin Grid Emission Factor | | | | Operating Margin Grid Emission Factor (including for use in PCAF GHG accounting) |
|--|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------|-------------------------|--|
| | Firm Energy (e.g., Hydro, Geothermal) | Intermittent Energy (e.g., Solar, Wind, Tidal) | Energy Efficiency | Electricity Consumption | |
| Jamaica | 0.498 | 0.631 | 0.498 | 0.498 | 0.711 |
| Japan | 0.408 | 0.448 | 0.408 | 0.408 | 0.471 |
| Jordan | 0.382 | 0.474 | 0.382 | 0.382 | 0.529 |
| Kazakhstan | 0.532 | 0.698 | 0.532 | 0.532 | 0.797 |
| Kenya | 0.274 | 0.462 | 0.274 | 0.274 | 0.574 |
| Kiribati | 0.530 | 0.669 | 0.530 | 0.530 | 0.753 |
| Korea (North), Democratic People's Republic of | 0.359 | 0.606 | 0.359 | 0.359 | 0.754 |
| Korea (South), Republic of | 0.335 | 0.473 | 0.335 | 0.335 | 0.555 |
| Kosovo | 0.843 | 1.032 | 0.843 | 0.843 | 1.145 |
| Kuwait | 0.400 | 0.572 | 0.400 | 0.400 | 0.675 |
| Kyrgyzstan | 0.098 | 0.172 | 0.098 | 0.098 | 0.217 |
| Lao People's Democratic Republic | 0.555 | 0.876 | 0.555 | 0.555 | 1.069 |
| Latvia | 0.117 | 0.194 | 0.117 | 0.117 | 0.240 |
| Lebanon | 0.567 | 0.709 | 0.567 | 0.567 | 0.794 |
| Lesotho | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Liberia | 0.374 | 0.564 | 0.374 | 0.374 | 0.677 |
| Libya | 0.493 | 0.602 | 0.493 | 0.493 | 0.668 |
| Liechtenstein | 0.052 | 0.114 | 0.052 | 0.052 | 0.151 |
| Lithuania | 0.102 | 0.170 | 0.102 | 0.102 | 0.211 |
| Luxembourg | 0.095 | 0.173 | 0.095 | 0.095 | 0.220 |
| Madagascar | 0.567 | 0.760 | 0.567 | 0.567 | 0.876 |
| Madeira (Portugal) | 0.369 | 0.552 | 0.369 | 0.369 | 0.663 |
| Malawi | 0.243 | 0.397 | 0.243 | 0.243 | 0.489 |
| Malaysia | 0.436 | 0.508 | 0.436 | 0.436 | 0.551 |
| Maldives | 0.524 | 0.667 | 0.524 | 0.524 | 0.753 |
| Mali | 0.623 | 0.906 | 0.623 | 0.623 | 1.076 |
| Malta | 0.295 | 0.435 | 0.295 | 0.295 | 0.520 |
| Marshall Islands | 0.561 | 0.681 | 0.561 | 0.561 | 0.753 |
| Martinique (France) | 0.406 | 0.623 | 0.406 | 0.406 | 0.753 |
| Mauritania | 0.513 | 0.663 | 0.513 | 0.513 | 0.753 |
| Mauritius | 0.543 | 0.641 | 0.543 | 0.543 | 0.700 |
| Mayotte (France) | 0.512 | 0.662 | 0.512 | 0.512 | 0.753 |
| Mexico | 0.359 | 0.467 | 0.359 | 0.359 | 0.531 |
| Micronesia | 0.557 | 0.679 | 0.557 | 0.557 | 0.753 |
| Moldova, Republic of | 0.399 | 0.488 | 0.399 | 0.399 | 0.541 |
| Monaco | 0.068 | 0.124 | 0.068 | 0.068 | 0.158 |
| Mongolia | 1.002 | 1.230 | 1.002 | 1.002 | 1.366 |
| Montenegro | 0.471 | 0.739 | 0.471 | 0.471 | 0.899 |
| Montserrat | 0.517 | 0.664 | 0.517 | 0.517 | 0.753 |
| Morocco | 0.547 | 0.660 | 0.547 | 0.547 | 0.729 |
| Mozambique | 0.111 | 0.188 | 0.111 | 0.111 | 0.234 |
| Myanmar | 0.407 | 0.602 | 0.407 | 0.407 | 0.719 |
| Namibia | 0.139 | 0.274 | 0.139 | 0.139 | 0.355 |
| Nauru | 0.521 | 0.666 | 0.521 | 0.521 | 0.753 |
| Nepal | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Netherlands | 0.203 | 0.280 | 0.203 | 0.203 | 0.326 |
| New Caledonia (France) | 0.445 | 0.654 | 0.445 | 0.445 | 0.779 |
| New Zealand | 0.108 | 0.194 | 0.108 | 0.108 | 0.246 |
| Nicaragua | 0.372 | 0.562 | 0.372 | 0.372 | 0.675 |
| Niger | 0.718 | 0.752 | 0.718 | 0.718 | 0.772 |
| Nigeria | 0.358 | 0.463 | 0.358 | 0.358 | 0.526 |
| Niue | 0.459 | 0.642 | 0.459 | 0.459 | 0.753 |
| North Macedonia, Republic of | 0.563 | 0.743 | 0.563 | 0.563 | 0.851 |
| Northern Mariana Islands (U.S.) | 0.416 | 0.626 | 0.416 | 0.416 | 0.753 |
| Norway | 0.017 | 0.036 | 0.017 | 0.017 | 0.047 |

Appendix

| | Combined Margin Grid Emission Factor | | | | Operating Margin Grid Emission Factor (including for use in PCAF GHG accounting) |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------|-------------------------|--|
| | Firm Energy (e.g., Hydro, Geothermal) | Intermittent Energy (e.g., Solar, Wind, Tidal) | Energy Efficiency | Electricity Consumption | |
| Oman | 0.320 | 0.419 | 0.320 | 0.320 | 0.479 |
| Pakistan | 0.386 | 0.515 | 0.386 | 0.386 | 0.592 |
| Palau | 0.497 | 0.657 | 0.497 | 0.497 | 0.753 |
| Palestinian Authority | 0.517 | 0.643 | 0.517 | 0.517 | 0.719 |
| Panama | 0.230 | 0.385 | 0.230 | 0.230 | 0.477 |
| Papua New Guinea | 0.315 | 0.491 | 0.315 | 0.315 | 0.597 |
| Paraguay | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Peru | 0.252 | 0.390 | 0.252 | 0.252 | 0.473 |
| Philippines | 0.525 | 0.617 | 0.525 | 0.525 | 0.672 |
| Poland | 0.532 | 0.717 | 0.532 | 0.532 | 0.828 |
| Portugal | 0.228 | 0.329 | 0.228 | 0.228 | 0.389 |
| Puerto Rico (U.S.) | 0.362 | 0.508 | 0.362 | 0.362 | 0.596 |
| Qatar | 0.258 | 0.411 | 0.258 | 0.258 | 0.503 |
| Reunion (France) | 0.421 | 0.641 | 0.421 | 0.421 | 0.772 |
| Romania | 0.289 | 0.414 | 0.289 | 0.289 | 0.489 |
| Russian Federation | 0.360 | 0.432 | 0.360 | 0.360 | 0.476 |
| Rwanda | 0.416 | 0.601 | 0.416 | 0.416 | 0.712 |
| Saint Helena (U.K.) | 0.456 | 0.641 | 0.456 | 0.456 | 0.753 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | 0.477 | 0.649 | 0.477 | 0.477 | 0.753 |
| Saint Lucia | 0.521 | 0.666 | 0.521 | 0.521 | 0.753 |
| Saint Martin (France) | 0.484 | 0.652 | 0.484 | 0.484 | 0.753 |
| Saint Pierre and Miquelon (France) | 0.415 | 0.626 | 0.415 | 0.415 | 0.753 |
| Saint Vincent and Grenadines | 0.499 | 0.658 | 0.499 | 0.499 | 0.753 |
| Samoa | 0.434 | 0.633 | 0.434 | 0.434 | 0.753 |
| San Marino | 0.224 | 0.343 | 0.224 | 0.224 | 0.414 |
| Sao Tomé & Príncipe | 0.565 | 0.682 | 0.565 | 0.565 | 0.753 |
| Saudi Arabia | 0.374 | 0.510 | 0.374 | 0.374 | 0.592 |
| Senegal | 0.656 | 0.790 | 0.656 | 0.656 | 0.870 |
| Serbia | 0.678 | 0.933 | 0.678 | 0.678 | 1.086 |
| Seychelles | 0.479 | 0.650 | 0.479 | 0.479 | 0.753 |
| Sierra Leone | 0.246 | 0.398 | 0.246 | 0.246 | 0.489 |
| Singapore | 0.200 | 0.311 | 0.200 | 0.200 | 0.379 |
| Sint Martin (Netherlands) | 0.463 | 0.644 | 0.463 | 0.463 | 0.753 |
| Slovak Republic | 0.164 | 0.269 | 0.164 | 0.164 | 0.332 |
| Slovenia | 0.285 | 0.494 | 0.285 | 0.285 | 0.620 |
| Solomon Islands | 0.563 | 0.681 | 0.563 | 0.563 | 0.753 |
| Somalia | 0.582 | 0.689 | 0.582 | 0.582 | 0.753 |
| South Africa | 0.786 | 0.964 | 0.786 | 0.786 | 1.070 |
| South Sudan | 0.704 | 0.820 | 0.704 | 0.704 | 0.890 |
| Spain | 0.209 | 0.329 | 0.209 | 0.209 | 0.402 |
| Sri Lanka | 0.506 | 0.646 | 0.506 | 0.506 | 0.731 |
| Sudan | 0.398 | 0.609 | 0.398 | 0.398 | 0.736 |
| Suriname | 0.565 | 0.855 | 0.565 | 0.565 | 1.029 |
| Sweden | 0.025 | 0.052 | 0.025 | 0.025 | 0.068 |
| Switzerland | 0.020 | 0.038 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.048 |
| Syrian Arab Republic | 0.546 | 0.650 | 0.546 | 0.546 | 0.713 |
| Taiwan (Chinese Taipei) | 0.331 | 0.427 | 0.331 | 0.331 | 0.484 |
| Tajikistan | 0.106 | 0.199 | 0.106 | 0.106 | 0.255 |
| Tanzania, United Republic of | 0.336 | 0.458 | 0.336 | 0.336 | 0.531 |
| Thailand | 0.351 | 0.413 | 0.351 | 0.351 | 0.450 |
| Timor-Leste | 0.589 | 0.691 | 0.589 | 0.589 | 0.753 |
| Togo | 0.597 | 0.761 | 0.597 | 0.597 | 0.859 |
| Tonga | 0.533 | 0.670 | 0.533 | 0.533 | 0.753 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 0.370 | 0.488 | 0.370 | 0.370 | 0.559 |
| Tunisia | 0.348 | 0.423 | 0.348 | 0.348 | 0.468 |
| Turkey | 0.309 | 0.351 | 0.309 | 0.309 | 0.376 |

Appendix

| | Combined Margin Grid Emission Factor | | | | Operating Margin Grid Emission Factor (including for use in PCAF GHG accounting) |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------|-------------------------|--|
| | Firm Energy (e.g., Hydro, Geothermal) | Intermittent Energy (e.g., Solar, Wind, Tidal) | Energy Efficiency | Electricity Consumption | |
| Turkmenistan | 0.676 | 0.833 | 0.676 | 0.676 | 0.927 |
| Turks and Caicos Islands (U.K.) | 0.451 | 0.639 | 0.451 | 0.451 | 0.753 |
| Tuvalu | 0.497 | 0.657 | 0.497 | 0.497 | 0.753 |
| Uganda | 0.116 | 0.218 | 0.116 | 0.116 | 0.279 |
| Ukraine | 0.435 | 0.643 | 0.435 | 0.435 | 0.768 |
| United Arab Emirates | 0.310 | 0.464 | 0.310 | 0.310 | 0.556 |
| United Kingdom | 0.219 | 0.320 | 0.219 | 0.219 | 0.380 |
| United States | 0.246 | 0.352 | 0.246 | 0.246 | 0.416 |
| Uruguay | 0.065 | 0.133 | 0.065 | 0.065 | 0.174 |
| Uzbekistan | 0.467 | 0.558 | 0.467 | 0.467 | 0.612 |
| Vanuatu | 0.504 | 0.659 | 0.504 | 0.504 | 0.753 |
| Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of | 0.368 | 0.582 | 0.368 | 0.368 | 0.711 |
| Viet Nam | 0.381 | 0.493 | 0.381 | 0.381 | 0.560 |
| Virgin Islands (U.S.) | 0.373 | 0.546 | 0.373 | 0.373 | 0.650 |
| Yemen | 0.615 | 0.735 | 0.615 | 0.615 | 0.807 |
| Zambia | 0.197 | 0.334 | 0.197 | 0.197 | 0.416 |
| Zimbabwe | 0.880 | 1.315 | 0.880 | 0.880 | 1.575 |

Note 1: For methodology and sources used to derive the default emission factors, please refer to the document

"AHG-001: Methodological Approach for the Common Default Grid Emission Factor Dataset".

Note 2: Partnership for Carbon Accounting Financials (PCAF) is a global partnership of financial institutions that work together to develop and implement a harmonized approach to assess and disclose the GHG emissions associated with their loans and investments.

<https://carbonaccountingfinancials.com/>

出典 : Harmonized IFI Default Grid Factors 2022 v3.2. IFI TWG (The Technical Working Group of the International Financial Institutions).

<https://unfccc.int/climate-action/sectoral-engagement/ifis-harmonization-of-standards-for-ghg-accounting/ifi-twg-list-of-methodologies>

Table 4 CO₂ Emission Factors for Standalone Power Generation

Table 2. Emission factors for diesel generator systems (in kg CO₂e/kWh^(a)) for three different levels of load factors^(b)

| Cases | Mini-grid with 24 hour service | (a) Mini-grid with temporary service (4-6 hr/day); (b) Productive applications; (c) Water pumps | Mini-grid with storage |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|------------------------|
| Load factors [%] | 25% | 50% | 100% |
| <15 kW | 2.4 | 1.4 | 1.2 |
| >=15 <35 kW | 1.9 | 1.3 | 1.1 |
| >=35 <135 kW | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| >=135<200 kW | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| > 200 kW ^(c) | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 |

^(a) A conversion factor of 3.2 kg CO₂ per kg of diesel has been used (following revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories);

^(b) Values derived from figures reported in RETScreen International's PV 2000 model retrieved from: <<http://retscreen.net/>>;

^(c) Default values.

Source: Small Scale CDM Methodology: AMS I.F. ver.3

Table 5 Default Values of Boiler Efficiencies

Table 1: Default baseline efficiency for different technologies

| Technology of the energy generation system | Default efficiency |
|--|--------------------|
| New natural gas fired boiler (w/o condenser) | 92% |
| New oil fired boiler | 90% |
| Old natural gas fired boiler (w/o condenser) | 87% |
| New coal fired boiler | 85% |
| Old oil fired boiler | 85% |
| Old coal fired boiler | 80% |

Source: CDM Tool to determine the baseline efficiency of thermal or electric energy generation systems, ver.1

Table 6 CO₂ Emission Factors for Different Transport Modes

CO₂ emission factors per km (g-CO₂/km)

| Vehicle Type | Emission Factors |
|--------------|------------------|
| Car | 304.1 |
| 2-Wheeler | 45.9 |
| 3-Wheeler | 125.2 |
| Taxi | 290.6 |
| Bus | 1337.9 |
| Jeepney/RTV | 420.5 |

Source: Manual for Calculating Greenhouse Gas Benefits of Global Environment Facility Transportation Projects (GEF, 2012)

CO₂ emission factors per passenger km (g-CO₂/passenger-km)

| Vehicle Type | Emission Factors |
|---------------|------------------|
| Passenger car | 130 |
| Aviation | 98 |
| Bus | 57 |
| Railway | 17 |
| Motorbike | 50 |

Source: MLIT Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism, Japan (FY2019). Sustainable Transport : A Sourcebook for Policy-makers in Developing Cities (GTZ, 2007)

Table 7 CO₂ Emission Factors in g-CO₂/t-km for Different Transport Modes

| Vehicle Type | Emission Factors |
|------------------|------------------------------------|
| Road | Commercial standard sized vehicles |
| | 173 |
| | Commercial small size vehicles |
| | 808 |
| | Commercial small mini vehicles |
| | 1,951 |
| | Private standard sized vehicles |
| | 394 |
| | Private small size vehicles |
| | 3,443 |
| Railway | 22 |
| Domestic vessels | 39 |
| Domestic airway | 1,490 |

Source: CO₂ Emission Estimation Guideline for Logistics, Version 3.1, METI and MLIT, Japan

| Type of cargo transported | Emission factor (g CO ₂ /tonne.km) |
|--|---|
| Agricultural products and live animals | 83 |
| Beverage | 61 |
| Groceries | 76 |
| Perishable and semi-perishable foodstuff and canned food | 94 |
| Other food products and fodder | 74 |
| Solid mineral fuels and petroleum products | 76 |
| Ores and metal waste | 90 |
| Metal products | 80 |
| Mineral products | 57 |
| Other crude and manufactured minerals and building materials | 70 |
| Fertilizers | 76 |
| Chemicals | 70 |
| Transport equipment | 100 |
| Machinery and metal products | 119 |
| Glass and ceramic and porcelain products | 84 |
| Grouped goods | 94 |
| Other manufactured articles | 113 |

Source: Approved baseline and monitoring methodology AM0090: Modal shift in transportation of cargo from road transportation to water or rail transportation.

Table 8 (1) Fraction of degradable organic carbon by waste type (DOC_j)

| Waste type j | DOC_j (% wet waste) |
|---|---|
| Wood and wood products | 43 |
| Pulp, paper and cardboard (other than sludge) | 40 |
| Food, food waste, beverages and tobacco (other than sludge) | 15 |
| Textiles | 24 |
| Garden, yard and park waste | 20 |
| Glass, plastic, metal, other inert waste | 0 |

Source: CDM Methodological Tool: Emissions from solid waste disposal sites [version 8.1] (original source: 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, IPCC, Volume 5, Table 2.4 and 2.5)

Table 8 (2) Fraction of degradable organic carbon which decomposes by waste type ($DOC_{f,j}$)

| TABLE 3.0 (NEW) FRACTION OF DEGRADABLE ORGANIC CARBON WHICH DECOMPOSES (DOC_f) FOR DIFFERENT WASTE TYPES | | |
|--|--|---|
| Type of Waste | Recommended Default DOC_f Values | Remark |
| Less decomposable wastes e.g. wood, engineered wood products, tree branches (wood) | 0.1 | An average value of 0.088 was derived from DOC_f values for engineered wood products, sawn woods, tree branches reported in 3 references ¹⁻³ |
| Moderately decomposable wastes e.g. paper, textile, nappies | 0.5 | An average value of 0.523 was derived from DOC_f values for paper products, textile and nappies reported in 4 references ⁴⁻⁷ . |
| Highly decomposable wastes, e.g. food wastes, grasses (garden and park waste excluding tree branches) | 0.7 | An average value of 0.706 was derived from DOC_f values for food wastes and grasses reported in 3 references ⁴⁻⁶ |
| Bulk waste* | 0.5 | |

¹ Wang *et al.* (2011); ² Wang and Barlaz (2016); ³ Ximenes *et al.* (2018); ⁴ Eleazer *et al.* (1997); ⁵ Bayard *et al.* (2017); ⁶ Jeong (2016); ⁷ Wang *et al.* (2015)

* It is used when the fractions of less, moderately and highly decomposable wastes in MSW are not known.

Source: 2019 Refinement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Volume 5, Table 3.0 (New)

Table 9(1) Methane Correction Factor (for SWDS)

| TABLE 3.1 (UPDATED) SWDS CLASSIFICATION AND METHANE CORRECTION FACTORS (MCF) | | |
|---|---|--|
| Type of Site | Methane Correction Factor (MCF) Default Values | Remarks |
| Managed – anaerobic | 1.0 ^a | These must have controlled placement of waste (i.e., waste directed to specific deposition areas, a degree of control of scavenging and a degree of control of fires) and will include at least one of the following: (i) cover material; (ii) mechanical compacting; or (iii) levelling of the waste. |
| Managed well – semi-aerobic | 0.5 ^b | When semi-aerobic managed SWDS type is managed under one of the following condition, it is regarded as well management ; (i) permeable cover material; (ii) leachate drainage system without sunk; (iii) regulating pondage; and (iv) gas ventilation system without cap, (v) connection of leachate drainage system and gas ventilation system. |
| Managed poorly – semi-aerobic | 0.7 ^c | When semi-aerobic managed SWDS type is managed under one of the following condition, it is regarded as poor management; (i) condition of sunk of leachate drainage system; (ii) closing of valve of drainage or atmosphere-unopening of drainage exit; (iii) capping of gas ventilation exit. |
| Managed well – active-aeration | 0.4 ^{d,e,f} | Active aeration of managed landfills includes the technology of in-situ low pressure aeration, air sparging, bioventing, passive ventilation with extraction (suction). These must have controlled placement of waste and will include leachate drainage system to avoid the blockage of air penetration, and (i) cover material; (ii) air injection or gas extraction system without drying of waste. |
| Managed poorly – active-aeration | 0.7 ^{f,g,h} | When SWDS, that is equipped as well as active aeration of managed SWDS, is managed under one of the following condition, it is judged as poor management; (i) blockage of aeration system due to failure of drainage; (ii) lack of available moisture for microorganisms due to high-pressure aeration. |
| Unmanaged – deep (>5 m waste) and /or high water table | 0.8 ^a | All SWDS not meeting the criteria of managed SWDS and which have depths of greater than or equal to 5 metres and/or high water table at near ground level. Latter situation corresponds to filling inland water, such as pond, river or wetland, by waste. |
| Unmanaged – shallow (<5 m waste) | 0.4 ^a | All SWDS not meeting the criteria of managed SWDS and which have depths of less than 5 metres. |
| Uncategorised SWDS | 0.6 ^a | Only if countries cannot categorise their SWDS into above four categories of managed and unmanaged SWDS, the MCF for this category can be used. |

Sources: ^aIPCC (2000); ^bMatsuji *et al.* (1996); ^cYamada *et al.* (2013); ^dHrad *et al.* (2013); ^eIshigaki *et al.* (2003); ^fRitzkowski & Stegmann (2013); ^gRaga & Cossu (2014); ^hRitzkowski *et al.* (2016)

Source: 2019 Refinement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Volume 5, Table 3.1 (Updated)

Table 9(2) Methane Correction Factor (for wastewater)

| Type of wastewater treatment and discharge pathway or system | MCF value |
|--|-----------|
| Discharge of wastewater to sea, river or lake | 0.1 |
| Land application | 0.1 |
| Aerobic treatment, well managed | 0.0 |
| Aerobic treatment, poorly managed or overloaded | 0.3 |
| Anaerobic digester for sludge without methane recovery | 0.8 |
| Anaerobic reactor without methane recovery | 0.8 |
| Anaerobic shallow lagoon (depth less than 2 metres) | 0.2 |
| Anaerobic deep lagoon (depth more than 2 metres) | 0.8 |
| Septic system | 0.5 |
| Land application ^(a) | 0.1 |

^(a) Please refer SSC_664, "Clarification on methane correction factors for treated water used for irrigation under AMS-III.H ver. 16".

Source: CDM Methodology: AMS-III.H. Methane recovery in wastewater treatment [Version 19.0]

Table 10 Decay Rates of Wastes

| Waste type <i>j</i> | | Boreal and Temperate (MAT≤20°C) | | Tropical (MAT>20°C) | |
|-------------------------|---|------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | | Dry (MAP/PET <1) | Wet (MAP/PET >1) | Dry (MAP< 1000mm) | Wet (MAP> 1000mm) |
| Slowly degrading | Pulp, paper, cardboard (other than sludge), textiles | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.045 | 0.07 |
| | Wood, wood products and straw | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.025 | 0.035 |
| Moderately degrading | Other (non- food) organic putrescible garden and park waste | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.065 | 0.17 |
| Rapidly degrading | Food, food waste, sewage sludge, beverages and tobacco | 0.06 | 0.185 | 0.085 | 0.40 |

Source: 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, IPCC, Volume 5, Table 3.3

Table 11 Degradable Organic Content of the Untreated Sludge

| Sludge type | | Default DOC(-) | |
|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------|------------|
| | | Wet matter | Dry matter |
| Domestic sludge | | 0.05 | 0.50 |
| Industrial sludge | Rough default | 0.09 | 0.35 |
| | Pulp and paper industry | - | 0.27 |
| | Food industry | - | 0.30 |
| | Chemical industry | - | 0.52 |

Source: 2019 Refinement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Volume 5: Waste, p.2.13

Table 12 Default Quantity of Waste Disposed in the SWDS (W_y)

| TABLE 2.1 MSW GENERATION AND TREATMENT DATA - REGIONAL DEFAULTS | | | | | |
|--|---|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Region | MSW Generation Rate ^{1, 2, 3} (tonnes/cap/yr) | Fraction of MSW disposed to SWDS | Fraction of MSW incinerated | Fraction of MSW composted | Fraction of other MSW management, unspecified ⁴ |
| Asia | | | | | |
| Eastern Asia | 0.37 | 0.55 | 0.26 | 0.01 | 0.18 |
| South-Central Asia | 0.21 | 0.74 | - | 0.05 | 0.21 |
| South-East Asia | 0.27 | 0.59 | 0.09 | 0.05 | 0.27 |
| Africa⁵ | 0.29 | 0.69 | - | - | 0.31 |
| Europe | | | | | |
| Eastern Europe | 0.38 | 0.90 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| Northern Europe | 0.64 | 0.47 | 0.24 | 0.08 | 0.20 |
| Southern Europe | 0.52 | 0.85 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| Western Europe | 0.56 | 0.47 | 0.22 | 0.15 | 0.15 |
| America | | | | | |
| Caribbean | 0.49 | 0.83 | 0.02 | - | 0.15 |
| Central America | 0.21 | 0.50 | - | - | 0.50 |
| South America | 0.26 | 0.54 | 0.01 | 0.003 | 0.46 |
| North America | 0.65 | 0.58 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.29 |
| Oceania⁶ | 0.69 | 0.85 | - | - | 0.15 |

¹ Data are based on weight of wet waste.

² To obtain the total waste generation in the country, the per-capita values should be multiplied with the population whose waste is collected. In many countries, especially developing countries, this encompasses only urban population.

³ The data are default data for the year 2000, although for some countries the year for which the data are applicable was not given in the reference, or data for the year 2000 were not available. The year for which the data are collected, where available, is given in the Annex 2A.1.

⁴ Other, unspecified, includes data on recycling for some countries.

⁵ A regional average is given for the whole of Africa as data are not available for more detailed regions within Africa.

⁶ Data for Oceania are based only on data from Australia and New Zealand.

Source:2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Volume 5 Waste Table2.1

Table A-1 Default values of biomass conversion and expansion factors (BCEF)

| TABLE 4.5 DEFAULT BIOMASS CONVERSION AND EXPANSION FACTORS (BCEF), TONNES BIOMASS (m^3 OF WOOD VOLUME) ⁻¹ | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Climatic zone | Forest type | BCEF | Growing stock level (m^3) | | | | | |
| | | <20 | 21-50 | 51-100 | >100 | | | |
| Boreal | pines | BCEFs | 1.2 (0.85-1.3) | 0.68 (0.5-0.72) | 0.57 (0.52-0.65) | 0.5 (0.45-0.58) | | |
| | | BCEF _I | 0.47 | 0.46 | 0.46 | 0.463 | | |
| | | BCEF _R | 1.33 | 0.75 | 0.63 | 0.55 | | |
| | larch | BCEFs | 1.22 (0.9-1.5) | 0.78 (0.7-0.8) | 0.77 (0.7-0.85) | 0.77 (0.7-0.85) | | |
| | | BCEF _I | 0.9 | 0.75 | 0.77 | 0.77 | | |
| | | BCEF _R | 1.35 | 0.87 | 0.85 | 0.85 | | |
| | firs and spruces | BCEFs | 1.16 (0.8-1.5) | 0.66 (0.55-0.75) | 0.58 (0.5-0.65) | 0.53 (0.45-0.605) | | |
| | | BCEF _I | 0.55 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.464 | | |
| | | BCEF _R | 1.29 | 0.73 | 0.64 | 0.59 | | |
| | hardwoods | BCEFs | 0.9 (0.7-1.2) | 0.7 (0.6-0.75) | 0.62 (0.53-0.7) | 0.55 (0.5-0.65) | | |
| | | BCEF _I | 0.65 | 0.54 | 0.52 | 0.505 | | |
| | | BCEF _R | 1.0 | 0.77 | 0.69 | 0.61 | | |
| Climatic zone | Forest type | BCEF | Growing stock level (m^3) | | | | | |
| | | | <20 | 21-40 | 41-100 | 100 -200 | >200 | |
| Temperate | hardwoods | BCEFs | 3.0 (0.8-4.5) | 1.7 (0.8-2.6) | 1.4 (0.7-1.9) | 1.05 (0.6-1.4) | 0.8 (0.55-1.1) | |
| | | BCEF _I | 1.5 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.48 | |
| | | BCEF _R | 3.33 | 1.89 | 1.55 | 1.17 | 0.89 | |
| | pines | BCEFs | 1.8 (0.6-2.4) | 1.0 (0.65-1.5) | 0.75 (0.6-1.0) | 0.7 (0.4-1.0) | 0.7 (0.4-1.0) | |
| | | BCEF _I | 1.5 | 0.75 | 0.6 | 0.67 | 0.69 | |
| | | BCEF _R | 2.0 | 1.11 | 0.83 | 0.77 | 0.77 | |
| | other conifers | BCEFs | 3.0 (0.7-4.0) | 1.4 (0.5-2.5) | 1.0 (0.5-1.4) | 0.75 (0.4-1.2) | 0.7 (0.35-0.9) | |
| | | BCEF _I | 1.0 | 0.83 | 0.57 | 0.53 | 0.60 | |
| | | BCEF _R | 3.33 | 1.55 | 1.11 | 0.83 | 0.77 | |
| Mediterranean, dry tropical, subtropical | hardwoods | BCEFs | 5.0 (2.0-8.0) | 1.9 (1.0-2.6) | 0.8 (0.6-1.4) | 0.66 (0.4-0.9) | | |
| | | BCEF _I | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0.55 | 0.66 | | |
| | | BCEF _R | 5.55 | 2.11 | 0.89 | 0.73 | | |
| | conifers | BCEFs | 6.0 (3.0-8.0) | 1.2 (0.5-2.0) | 0.6 (0.4-0.9) | 0.55 (0.4-0.7) | | |
| | | BCEF _I | 1.5 | 0.4 | 0.45 | 0.54 | | |
| | | BCEF _R | 6.67 | 1.33 | 0.67 | 0.61 | | |
| Climatic zone | Forest type | BCEF | Growing stock level (m^3) | | | | | |
| | | | <10 | 11-20 | 21-40 | 41-60 | 61-80 | |
| Humid tropical | conifers | BCEFs | 4.0 (3.0-6.0) | 1.75 (1.4-2.4) | 1.25 (1.0-1.5) | 1.0 (0.8-1.2) | 0.8 (0.7-1.2) | |
| | | BCEF _I | 2.5 | 0.95 | 0.65 | 0.55 | 0.58 | |
| | | BCEF _R | 4.44 | 1.94 | 1.39 | 1.11 | 0.89 | |
| | natural forests | BCEFs | 9.0 (4.0-12.0) | 4.0 (2.5-4.5) | 2.8 (1.4-3.4) | 2.05 (1.2-2.5) | 1.7 (1.2-2.2) | |
| | | BCEF _I | 4.5 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 0.93 | 0.9 | |
| | | BCEF _R | 10.0 | 4.44 | 3.11 | 2.28 | 1.89 | |
| <p>Note: Lower values of the ranges for BCEFs apply if growing stock definition includes branches, stem tops and cull trees; upper values apply if branches and tops are not part of growing stock, minimum top diameters in the definition of growing stock are large, inventoried volume falls near the lower category limit or basic wood densities are relatively high. Continuous graphs, functional forms and updates with new studies can be found at the forest- and climate- change website at: http://www.fao.org/forestry/</p> <p>Average BCEF for inhomogeneous forests should be derived as far as possible as weighted averages. It is good practice to justify the factors chosen. To apply BCEF_I, an estimate of the current average growing stock is necessary. It can be derived from FRA 2005 at http://www.fao.org/forestry/</p> | | | | | | | | |
| <p>BCEF_R values are derived by dividing BCEFs by 9.</p> <p>Sources: Boreal forests: Alexeyev V.A. and R.A. Birdseye, 1998; Fang J. and Z.M. Wang, 2001; temperate forests: Fang J. et al., 2001; Fukuda M. et al., 2003; Schroeder P. et al., 1997; Snowdon P. et al., 2000; Smith J. et al., 2002; Brown S., 1999; Schoene D. and A. Schulte, 1999; Smith J. et al., 2004; Mediterranean forests: Vayreda et al., 2002; Gracia et al., 2002; tropical forests: Brown S. et al., 1989; Brown S. and A. Lugo, 1992; Brown S., 2002; Fang J.Y., 2001.</p> | | | | | | | | |

Source : 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories

Table A-2 Carbon fraction of aboveground forest biomass (CF)

| TABLE 4.3 CARBON FRACTION OF ABOVEGROUND FOREST BIOMASS | | | |
|--|-------------------------|--|--|
| Domain | Part of tree | Carbon fraction, (CF) [tonne C (tonne d.m.) ⁻¹] | References |
| Default value | All | 0.47 | McGroddy <i>et al.</i> , 2004 |
| Tropical and Subtropical | All | 0.47 (0.44 - 0.49) | Andreae and Merlet, 2001; Chambers <i>et al.</i> , 2001; McGroddy <i>et al.</i> , 2004; Lasco and Pulhin, 2003 |
| | wood | 0.49 | Feldpausch <i>et al.</i> , 2004 |
| | wood, tree d < 10 cm | 0.46 | Hughes <i>et al.</i> , 2000 |
| | wood, tree d ≥ 10 cm | 0.49 | Hughes <i>et al.</i> , 2000 |
| | foliage | 0.47 | Feldpausch <i>et al.</i> , 2004 |
| | foliage, tree d < 10 cm | 0.43 | Hughes <i>et al.</i> , 2000 |
| | foliage, tree d ≥ 10 cm | 0.46 | Hughes <i>et al.</i> , 2000 |
| Temperate and Boreal | All | 0.47 (0.47 - 0.49) | Andreae and Merlet, 2001; Gayoso <i>et al.</i> , 2002; Matthews, 1993; McGroddy <i>et al.</i> , 2004 |
| | broad-leaved | 0.48 (0.46 - 0.50) | Lamlom and Savidge, 2003 |
| | conifers | 0.51 (0.47 - 0.55) | Lamlom and Savidge, 2003 |

Source : 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories

Table A-3 Above-ground net biomass growth in natural forests (t-d.m./ha/year)

| TABLE 4.9 (UPDATED) ABOVE-GROUND NET BIOMASS GROWTH IN NATURAL FORESTS ^{1,2,3,4} (TONNES D.M. HA ⁻¹ YR ⁻¹) | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|---|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Domain | Ecological Zone ⁴ | Continent | Status/ Condition | Aboveground biomass growth [tonnes d.m. ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹] | Uncertai nty | Uncert ainty type | References |
| Tropical | Tropical rainforest | Africa | Primary | 1.3 | 3.5 | SD | 1, 2 |
| | | | Secondary> 20 years | 3.5 | 3.3 | SD | 3-8 |
| | | | Secondary≤ 20 years | 7.6 | 5.9 | SD | 3-7, 9 |
| | | North and South America | Primary | 1.0 | 2.0 | SD | 2, 10, 11 |
| | | | Secondary> 20 years | 2.3 | 1.1 | SD | 3, 4, 12-15 |
| | | | Secondary≤ 20 years | 5.9 | 2.5 | SD | 3, 4, 6, 12-14 |
| | | Asia | Primary | 0.7 | 2.2 | SD | 2, 16 |
| | | | Secondary> 20 years | 2.7 | 3.1 | SD | 3, 4, 17 |
| | | | Secondary≤ 20 years | 3.4 | 3.9 | SD | 3, 4, 17-19 |
| | Tropical moist deciduous forest | Africa | Primary ⁶ | 0.4 | ±90% | default | |
| | | | Secondary> 20 years | 0.9 | 0.7 | SD | 20, 21 |
| | | | Secondary≤ 20 years | 2.9 | 1.0 | SD | 20, 21 |
| | | North and South America | Primary | 0.4 | 2.1 | SD | 2, 10, 11 |
| | | | Secondary> 20 years | 2.7 | 1.7 | SD | 3, 4, 12, 13, 15, 22 |
| | | | Secondary≤ 20 years | 5.2 | 2.3 | SD | 3, 4, 12, 13, 22 |
| | | Asia | Primary | 0.4 | ±90% | default | 7 |
| | | | Secondary> 20 years | 0.9 | ±90% | default | 8 |
| | | | Secondary≤ 20 years | 2.4 | 0.3 | SD | 3, 4 |
| | Tropical dry forest | Africa | Primary | - | - | - | |
| | | | Secondary> 20 years | 1.6 | ±90% | default | 9 |
| | | | Secondary≤ 20 years | 3.9 | ±90% | default | 10 |
| | | North and South America | Primary | - | - | - | |
| | | | Secondary> 20 years | 1.6 | 1.1 | SD | 12, 13 |
| | | | Secondary≤ 20 years | 3.9 | 2.4 | SD | 12, 13, 23 |

TABLE 4.9 (UPDATED) (CONTINUED)
ABOVE-GROUND NET BIOMASS GROWTH IN NATURAL FORESTS^{1,2,3,4} (TONNES D.M. HA⁻¹ YR⁻¹)

| Domain | Ecological Zone ⁴ | Continent | Status/Condition | Aboveground biomass growth [tonnes d.m. ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹] | Uncertainty | Uncertainty type | References |
|----------|------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---|-------------|------------------|------------------|
| Tropical | Tropical dry forest | Asia | Primary | - | - | - | |
| | | | Secondary> 20 years | 1.6 | ±90% | default | 11 |
| | | | Secondary≤ 20 years | 3.9 | ±90% | default | 12 |
| | Tropical shrublands | Africa | Primary | 0.9 (0.2-1.6)* | ±90% | default | 24 |
| | | | Secondary> 20 years | 0.9 (0.2-1.6)* | ±90% | default | 24 |
| | | | Secondary≤ 20 years | 0.2-0.7 | ±90% | default | 24 |
| | | North and South America | Primary | 1.0* | ±90% | default | 24 |
| | | | Secondary> 20 years | 1.0* | ±90% | default | 24 |
| | | | Secondary≤ 20 years | 4.0 | ±90% | default | 24 |
| | | Asia (Continental) | Primary | 1.3 (1.0-2.2)* | ±90% | default | 24 |
| | | | Secondary> 20 years | 1.3 (1.0-2.2)* | ±90% | default | 24 |
| | | | Secondary≤ 20 years | 5.0 | ±90% | default | 24 |
| | | Asia (insular) | Primary | 1.0* | ±90% | default | 24 |
| | | | Secondary> 20 years | 1.0* | ±90% | default | 24 |
| | | | Secondary≤ 20 years | 2.0 | ±90% | default | 24 |
| | Tropical mountain system | Africa | Primary | 0.5 | ±90% | default | 13 |
| | | | Secondary> 20 years | 1.8 | ±90% | default | 14 |
| | | | Secondary≤ 20 years | 5.5 | 6.8 | SD | 25-27 |
| | | North and South America | Primary | 0.5 | 1.9 | SD | 2, 10, 11 |
| | | | Secondary> 20 years | 1.8 | 0.8 | SD | 3, 4, 12, 13 |
| | | | Secondary≤ 20 years | 4.4 | 1.6 | SD | 3, 4, 12, 13, 22 |
| | | Asia | Primary | -0.7 | 3.1 | SD | 2, 16 |
| | | | Secondary> 20 years | 1.1 | 0.4 | SD | 3, 4, 28, 29 |
| | | | Secondary≤ 20 years | 2.9 | 0.1 | SD | 3, 4, 28-30 |

TABLE 4.9 (UPDATED) (CONTINUED)
ABOVE-GROUND NET BIOMASS GROWTH IN NATURAL FORESTS^{1,2,3,4} (TONNES D.M. HA⁻¹ YR⁻¹)

| Domain | Ecological Zone ⁴ | Continent | Status/Condition | Aboveground biomass growth [tonnes d.m. ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹] | Uncertainty | Uncertainty type | References |
|--------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---|-------------|------------------|------------|
| Sub-tropical | Subtropical humid forest | Africa | Primary | - | - | - | |
| | | | Secondary >20 years | 1.0 | ±90% | default | 15 |
| | | | Secondary ≤20 years | 2.5 | ±90% | default | 16 |
| | | North and South America | Primary | - | - | - | |
| | | | Secondary >20 years | 1.0 | ±90% | default | 17 |
| | | | Secondary ≤20 years | 2.5 | ±90% | default | 18 |
| | | Asia | Primary | - | - | - | |
| | | | Secondary >20 years | 1.0 | 0.9 | SD | 3, 4, 31 |
| | | | Secondary ≤20 years | 2.5 | 0.8 | SD | 3, 4, 31 |
| | Subtropical dry forest | Africa | Primary | 1.8 (0.6-3.0)* | ±90% | default | 24 |
| | | | Secondary >20 years | 1.8 (0.6-3.0)* | ±90% | default | 24 |
| | | | Secondary ≤20 years | 2.4 (2.3-2.5) | ±90% | default | 24 |
| | | North and South America | Primary | 1.0* | ±90% | default | 24 |
| | | | Secondary >20 years | 1.0* | ±90% | default | 24 |
| | | | Secondary ≤20 years | 4.0 | ±90% | default | 24 |
| | | Asia (continental) | Primary | 1.5* | ±90% | default | 24 |
| | | | Secondary >20 years | 1.5* | ±90% | default | 24 |
| | | | Secondary ≤20 years | 6.0 | ±90% | default | 24 |
| | | Asia (insular) | Primary | 2.0* | ±90% | default | 24 |
| | | | Secondary >20 years | 2.0* | ±90% | default | 24 |
| | | | Secondary ≤20 years | 7.0 | ±90% | default | 24 |
| | Subtropical steppe | Africa | Primary | 0.9 (0.2-1.6)* | ±90% | default | 24 |
| | | | Secondary >20 years | 0.9 (0.2-1.6)* | ±90% | default | 24 |
| | | | Secondary ≤20 years | 1.2 (0.8-1.5) | ±90% | default | 24 |
| | | North and South America | Primary | 1.0* | ±90% | default | 24 |
| | | | Secondary >20 years | 1.0* | ±90% | default | 24 |
| | | | Secondary ≤20 years | 4.0 | ±90% | default | 24 |

TABLE 4.9 (UPDATED) (CONTINUED)
ABOVE-GROUND NET BIOMASS GROWTH IN NATURAL FORESTS^{1,2,3,4} (TONNES D.M. HA⁻¹ YR⁻¹)

| Domain | Ecological Zone ⁴ | Continent | Status/Condition | Aboveground biomass growth [tonnes d.m. ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹] | Uncertainty | Uncertainty type | References |
|-------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---|-------------|------------------|------------|
| Subtropical | Subtropical steppe | Asia (continental) | Primary | 1.3 (1.0-2.2)* | ±90% | default | 24 |
| | | | Secondary >20 years | 1.3 (1.0-2.2)* | ±90% | default | 24 |
| | | | Secondary ≤20 years | 5.0 | ±90% | default | 24 |
| | | Asia (insular) | Primary | 1.0* | ±90% | default | 24 |
| | | | Secondary >20 years | 1.0* | ±90% | default | 24 |
| | | | Secondary ≤20 years | 2.0 | ±90% | default | 24 |
| | Subtropical mountain system | Africa | Primary | - | - | - | |
| | | | Secondary >20 years | 0.5 | ±90% | default | 19 |
| | | | Secondary ≤20 years | 2.5 | ±90% | default | 20 |
| | | North and South America | Primary | - | - | - | |
| | | | Secondary >20 years | 0.5 | ±90% | default | 21 |
| | | | Secondary ≤20 years | 2.5 | ±90% | default | 22 |
| Temperate | Oceanic | New Zealand | Primary | 0.37 | ±0.85 | 95%CI | 33 |
| | | | Secondary >20 years | 2.12 | ±0.82 | 95%CI | 33 |
| | | | Secondary ≤20 years | 3.12 | 0.83 | SE | 34 |
| | | Europe | All | 2.3 | - | - | 35 |
| | | North and South America | Secondary >20 years | 9.1 | 20.2 | SD | 36 |
| | | | Secondary ≤20 years | 6.3 | 7.4 | SD | 36 |
| | Continental | North and South America | Secondary >20 years | 3.6 | 15.0 | SD | 36 |
| | | | Secondary ≤20 years | 3.3 | 5.2 | SD | 36 |
| | | Mountain | Secondary >20 years | 4.4 | 100.7 | SD | 36 |
| | | | Secondary ≤20 years | 3.1 | 3.6 | SD | 36 |

TABLE 4.9 (UPDATED) (CONTINUED)
ABOVE-GROUND NET BIOMASS GROWTH IN NATURAL FORESTS^{1,2,3,4} (TONNES D.M. HA⁻¹ YR⁻¹)

| Domain | Ecological Zone ⁴ | Continent | Status/Condition | Aboveground biomass growth [tonnes d.m. ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹] | Uncertainty | Uncertainty type | References |
|-----------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------------|------------------|------------|
| Temperate | Desert | North and South America | Secondary >20 years | 0.6 | 0.9 | SD | 36 |
| | | | Secondary ≤20 years | 0.5 | 1.2 | SD | 36 |
| | Steppe | North and South America | Secondary >20 years | 3.5 | 13.3 | SD | 36 |
| | | | Secondary ≤20 years | 2.3 | 3.2 | SD | 36 |
| Boreal | Coniferous | Asia, Europe, North America | All | 0.1-2.1 | - | - | 35 |
| | Tundra woodland | Asia, Europe, North America | All | 0.4 | (0.2-0.5) | Range | 24 |
| | Mountain | Asia, Europe, North America | Primary or secondary >20 years | 1.1-1.5 | - | - | 24 |
| | | | Secondary ≤20 years | 1.0-1.1 | - | - | 24 |

¹ Aboveground net biomass growth is defined as net change in total aboveground biomass over time. In this respect, both forest productivity and mortality are accounted for.

² Some categories include sub-strata for primary forests defined as old growth forests that are intact or with no active human intervention, and secondary forests which include all other forests. The table considers a forest definition of at least 10% tree canopy cover.

³ For above-ground biomass growth rates with no standard deviation, IPCC Tier 1 default uncertainties apply.

⁴ Forest Resources Assessment (FRA). (2015). *Global Ecological Zones for FAO Forest Reporting 2010 Update. Forest Resources Assessment Working Paper 179*.

Observations on ecological zone and continent columns

Above-ground biomass growth rate was taken from: Tropical moist deciduous forest - North and South America (Primary); Tropical moist deciduous forest - North and South America (Primary); Tropical moist deciduous forest - Africa (Secondary>20 years); Tropical dry forest – North and South America (Secondary>20 years); Tropical dry forest – North and South America (Secondary≤20 years); Tropical dry forest – North and South America (Secondary>20 years); Tropical dry forest – North and South America (Secondary≤20 years); Tropical mountain system – North and South America (Primary); Tropical mountain system – North and South America (Secondary>20 years); Subtropical humid forest – Asia (Secondary>20 years); Subtropical humid forest – Asia (Secondary≤20 years)

Subtropical humid forest – Asia (Secondary>20 years); Subtropical humid forest – Asia (Secondary≤20 years); Subtropical mountain system – Asia (Secondary>20 years); Subtropical mountain system – Asia (Secondary≤20 years); Subtropical mountain system – Asia (Secondary>20 years); Subtropical mountain system – Asia (Secondary≤20 years).

Note: SD = standard deviation, CI = confidence interval, SE = standard error.

*Recommendation based on IPCC 2006 estimates for Forests > 20 years.

References

- 1Lewis, S. L., et al., 2009; 2Lopez-Gonzalez, G. et al., 2011; 3Anderson-Teixeira, K. J., et al., 2018a; 4Anderson-Teixeira, K. J., et al., 2018b; 5Omeja, P. A. et al., 2011; 6Palm, C.A., et al., 1999; 7N'Guessan, A. E., et al., 2019; 8Gourlet-Fleury, S., et al., 2013; 9Thenkabail, P. S., et al., 2004; 10Brienen, R. J. W., et al., 2014; 11Brienen, R. J. W., et al., 2015; 12Poorter, L. et al., 2016a; 13L. Poorter et al., 2016b; 14Salimon, C. I., Brown, I. F., 2000; 15Rutishauser, E., et al., 2015; 16Qie, L., et al., 2017; 17Mukul, S. A., Herbohn, J., Firn, F., 2016; 18Hiratsuka, M., et al., 2006; 19Ewel, J. J., Chai, P., Tsai, L. M., 1983; 20Kalaba, F. K., et al., 2013; 21Manlay, R., et al., 2002; 22Peña, M. A., Duque, A., 2013; 23Salinas-Mendoza, M. A. et al., 2017; 24IPCC, 2003; 25Otuoma, J., et al., 2016; 26Giday, K., et al., 2013; 27Mekurja, W., Veldkamp, E., Corre, M. D., 2010; 28Tang, J. W., et al., 1998; 29Fujiki, S., 2017; 30Chan, N., Takeda, S., 2016; 31Schomakers, J., et al., 2017; 32Dang, C. L., Wu, Z. L., 1991; 33Holdaway, R.J., et al. 2017; 34Beets P.N., et al. 2014; 35IPCC 2006; 36June 18, 2018. Forest Inventory and Analysis Database, St. Paul, MN: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Northern Research Station. (Available only on internet: <https://apps.fs.usda.gov/fia/datamart/datamart.html>).

Source: 2019 Refinement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories

Table A-4 Above-ground net biomass growth in forest plantations (t-d.m./ha/year)

| TABLE 4.10 (UPDATED) ABOVE-GROUND NET BIOMASS GROWTH IN TROPICAL AND SUB-TROPICAL PLANTATION FORESTS (TONNES D.M. HA ⁻¹ YR ⁻¹) | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|---|---|------------|
| Domain | Ecological zone ¹ | Continent | Species | Above-ground biomass [tonnes d.m. ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹] | Range [tonnes d.m. ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹] ² | References |
| Tropical | Tropical rainforest | Africa | <i>Pinus</i> sp. ≤ 20 y | 20 | | 1 |
| | | | Other ≤ 20 y | 6 | 5-8 | 1 |
| | | North and South America | <i>Eucalyptus</i> sp. | 20 | 6-40 | 1 |
| | | | <i>Pinus</i> sp. | 20 | | 1 |
| | | | <i>Tectona grandis</i> | 15 | | 1 |
| | | | Other broadleaf | 20 | 5-35 | 1 |
| | | Asia | <i>Eucalyptus</i> sp. | 5 | 4-8 | 1 |
| | | | Other | 5 | 2-8 | 1 |
| | Tropical moist deciduous forest | Africa | <i>Eucalyptus</i> sp. >20 y | 25 | | 1 |
| | | | <i>Eucalyptus</i> sp. ≤ 20 y | 20 | | 1 |
| | | | Other ≤ 20 y | 9 | 3-15 | 1 |
| | | North and South America | <i>Eucalyptus</i> sp. | 16 | | 2 |
| | | | <i>Tectona grandis</i> | 8 | 4-12 | 1 |
| | | | Other broadleaf | 6-20 | 6-20 | 3 |
| | | Asia | | 8 | | 1 |
| | Tropical dry forest | Africa | <i>Eucalyptus</i> sp. ≤ 20 y | 13 | | 1 |
| | | | <i>Pinus</i> sp. > 20 y | 9 | 7-10 | 4 |
| | | | <i>Pinus</i> sp. ≤ 20 y | 6 | 5-8 | 4 |
| | | | Other ≤ 20 y | 10 | 4-20 | 1 |
| | | North and South America | <i>Eucalyptus</i> sp. | 20 | 6-30 | 1 |
| | | | <i>Pinus</i> sp. | 7 | 4-10 | 1 |
| | | | <i>Tectona grandis</i> | 8 | 4-12 | 1 |
| | | | Other broadleaf | 10 | 3-12 | 1 |
| | | Asia | <i>Eucalyptus</i> sp. | 15 | 5-25 | 1 |
| | | | Other | 7 | 2-13 | 1 |
| | Tropical shrubland | Africa | <i>Eucalyptus</i> sp. > 20 y | 8 | 5-14 | 1 |
| | | | <i>Eucalyptus</i> sp. ≤ 20 y | 5 | 3-7 | 1 |
| | | | <i>Pinus</i> sp. > 20 y | 2.5 | | 1 |
| | | | <i>Pinus</i> sp. ≤ 20 y | 3 | 0.5-6 | 1 |
| | | | Other > 20 y | 10 | | 1 |
| | | | Other ≤ 20 y | 15 | | 1 |
| | | North and South America | <i>Eucalyptus</i> sp. | 20 | | 1 |
| | | | <i>Pinus</i> sp. | 5 | | 1 |
| | | Asia | | 6 | 1-12 | 1 |

TABLE 4.10 (UPDATED) (CONTINUED)

ABOVE-GROUND NET BIOMASS GROWTH IN TROPICAL AND SUB-TROPICAL PLANTATION FORESTS (TONNES D.M. HA⁻¹ YR⁻¹)

| Domain | Ecological zone ¹ | Continent | Species | Above-ground biomass [tonnes d.m. ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹] | Range [tonnes d.m. ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹] ² | References |
|--------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---|---|------------|
| Tropical | Tropical mountain systems | Africa | | 10 | | 1 |
| | | North and South America | Eucalyptus sp. | 10 | 8-18 | 1 |
| | | | Pinus sp. | 10 | | 1 |
| | | Asia | Tectona grandis | 2 | | 1 |
| | | | other broadleaf | 4 | | 1 |
| | | | Eucalyptus sp. | 3 | | 1 |
| | | | Other | 5 | 1-10 | 1 |
| Sub-tropical | Subtropical humid forest | North and South America | Eucalyptus sp. | 20 | 6-32 | 1 |
| | | | Pinus sp. | 7 | 4-10 | 1 |
| | | | Tectona grandis | 8 | 4-12 | 1 |
| | | | Other broadleaf | 10 | 3-12 | 1 |
| | | Asia | | 8 | | 1 |
| | Subtropical dry forest | Africa | Eucalyptus sp. ≤20 y | 13 | | 1 |
| | | | Pinus sp. > 20 y | 10 | | 1 |
| | | | Pinus sp. ≤ 20 y | 8 | | 1 |
| | | | Other ≤ 20 y | 10 | 4-20 | 1 |
| | | North and South America | Eucalyptus sp. | 20 | 6-30 | 1 |
| | | | Pinus sp. | 7 | 4-10 | 1 |
| | | | Tectona grandis | 8 | 4-12 | 1 |
| | | | Other broadleaf | 10 | 3-12 | 1 |
| | | Asia | Eucalyptus sp. | 15 | 5-25 | 1 |
| | | | Other | 7 | 2-13 | 1 |
| | Subtropical steppe | Africa | Eucalyptus sp. >20 y | 8 | 5-14 | 1 |
| | | | Eucalyptus sp. ≤20 y | 5 | 3-7 | 1 |
| | | | Pinus sp. > 20 y | 2.5 | | 1 |
| | | | Pinus sp. ≤ 20 y | 3 | 0.5-6 | 1 |
| | | | Other > 20 y | 10 | | 1 |
| | | | Other ≤ 20 y | 15 | | 1 |
| | | North and South America | Eucalyptus sp. | 20 | | 1 |
| | | | Pinus sp. | 5 | | 1 |
| | | Asia | | 6 | 1-12 | 1 |
| | Subtropical mountain systems | Africa | | 10 | | 1 |
| | | North and South America | Eucalyptus sp. | 10 | 8-18 | 1 |
| | | | Pinus sp. | 10 | | 1 |
| | | | Tectona grandis | 2 | | 1 |
| | | Other broadleaf | | 4 | | 1 |

TABLE 4.10 (UPDATED) (CONTINUED)
ABOVE-GROUND NET BIOMASS GROWTH IN TROPICAL AND SUB-TROPICAL PLANTATION FORESTS (TONNES D.M. HA⁻¹ YR⁻¹)

| Domain | Ecological zone ¹ | Continent | Species | Above-ground biomass [tonnes d.m. ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹] | Range [tonnes d.m. ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹] ² | References |
|-------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|---|---|------------|
| Subtropical | Subtropical mountain systems | Asia | Eucalyptus sp. | 3 | | 1 |
| | | | Other | 5 | 1-10 | 1 |
| Temperate | Continental | North and South America | Secondary >20 years | 4 | 5 | 5 |
| | | | Secondary ≤20 years | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| | Mountain | North and South America | Secondary >20 years | 9 | 7 | 5 |
| | | | Secondary ≤20 years | 10 | 86 | 5 |
| | Oceanic | North and South America | Secondary >20 years | 10 | 8 | 5 |
| | | | Secondary ≤20 years | 6 | 4 | 5 |
| Boreal | Steppe | North and South America | Secondary >20 years | 11 | 56 | 5 |
| | | | Secondary ≤20 years | 4 | 3 | 5 |
| | Coniferous | Asia, Europe, North America | Secondary >20 years | 1.0 | | 1 |
| | | | Secondary ≤20 years | 1.0 | | 1 |
| | Tundra woodland | Asia, Europe, North America | Secondary >20 years | 0.4 | | 1 |
| | | | Secondary ≤20 years | 0.4 | | 1 |
| | Mountain | Asia, Europe, North America | Secondary >20 years | 1.0 | | 1 |
| | | | Secondary ≤20 years | 1.0 | | 1 |

¹ Forest Resources Assessment (FRA). (2015). Global Ecological Zones for FAO Forest Reporting 2010 Update. Forest Resources Assessment Working Paper 179.

² If a single estimate is included in this column it refers to the standard deviation of the mean estimate.

References

1IPCC 2003; 2Stape et al., 2004; 3Lugo et al., 1990; 4Masota et al 2016; 5June 18, 2018. Forest Inventory and Analysis Database, St. Paul, MN: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Northern Research Station (Available only on internet: <http://apps.fs.fed.us/fiadb-downloads/datamart.html>).

Source: 2019 Refinement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories

Table A-5 Average belowground to aboveground biomass ratio (Root-Shoot ratio) (R)

| TABLE 4.4 (UPDATED) RATIO OF BELOW-GROUND BIOMASS TO ABOVE-GROUND BIOMASS (R) [TONNE ROOT D.M. (TONNE SHOOT D.M.) ⁻¹] | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|-------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Domain | Ecological zone ¹ | Continent | Origin (Natural/Plantation) | Above-ground biomass (tonnes ha ⁻¹) | R [tonne root d.m. (tonne shoot d.m.) ⁻¹] | Uncertainty | Uncertainty type | References |
| Tropical | Tropical Rainforest | Africa | Natural | ≤ 125 | 0.825 | ±90% | default | 1, 2 |
| | | | Natural | > 125 | 0.532 | ±90% | default | 2, 3 |
| | | North and South America | Natural | ≤ 125 | 0.221 | 0.036 | SD | 4 |
| | | | Planted | ≤ 125 | 0.170 | 0.11 | SD | 5 |
| | | | Natural | > 125 | 0.221 | 0.036 | SD | 4 |
| | | | Planted | > 125 | 0.170 | 0.11 | SD | 5 |
| | | Asia | Natural | ≤ 125 | 0.207 | 0.072 | SD | 6, 7, 8 |
| | | | Planted | ≤ 125 | 0.325 | 0.025 | SD | 8 |
| | | | Natural | > 125 | 0.212 | 0.077 | SD | 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 |
| | Tropical Moist | Africa | Natural | ≤ 125 | 0.232 | ±90% | default | 12 |
| | | | Natural | > 125 | 0.232 | ±90% | default | 12 |
| | | North and South America | Natural | ≤ 125 | 0.2845 | 0.061 | SD | 12 |
| | | | Natural | > 125 | 0.284 | 0.061 | SD | 12 |
| | | Asia | Natural | ≤ 125 | 0.323 | 0.073 | SD | 1, 13, 14, 5 |
| | | | Natural | > 125 | 0.246 | 0.036 | SD | 12, 16 |
| | Tropical Dry | Africa | Natural | ≤ 125 | 0.332 | 0.247 | SD | 1, 12, 17, 18, 19 |
| | | | Natural | > 125 | 0.379 | 0.040 | SD | 12 |
| | | North and South America | Natural | ≤ 125 | 0.334 | 0.040 | SD | 4, 12, 20 |
| | | | Natural | > 125 | 0.379 | 0.040 | SD | 12 |
| | | Asia | Natural | ≤ 125 | 0.440 | ±90% | default | 12 |
| | | | Natural | > 125 | 0.379 | 0.040 | SD | 12 |
| | Tropical Mountain | North and South America | Natural | ≤ 125 | 0.348 | ±90% | default | 4 |
| | | | Planted | ≤ 125 | 2.158 | ±90% | default | 12 |
| | | | Natural | > 125 | 0.283 | 0.16 | SD | 21 |
| | | Asia | Natural | ≤ 125 | 0.322 | 0.084 | SD | 22, 23 |
| | | | Natural | > 125 | 0.345 | 0.280 | SD | 22, 23 |

TABLE 4.4 (UPDATED) (CONTINUED)
RATIO OF BELOW-GROUND BIOMASS TO ABOVE-GROUND BIOMASS (R) [TONNE ROOT D.M. (TONNE SHOOT D.M.)⁻¹]

| Domain | Ecological zone ¹ | Continent | Origin (Natural/Plantation) | Above-ground biomass (tonnes ha ⁻¹) | R [tonne root d.m. (tonne shoot d.m.) ⁻¹] | Uncertainty | Uncertainty type | References |
|--------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|---|-------------|------------------|----------------|
| Sub-tropical | Sub-tropical Humid | Africa | Natural | ≤ 125 | 0.232 | ±90% | default | 12 |
| | | | Natural | > 125 | 0.232 | ±90% | default | 12 |
| | | North and South America | Natural | ≤ 125 | 0.175 | ±90% | default | 12 |
| | | | Natural | > 125 | 0.284 | ±90% | default | 12 |
| | | Asia | Natural | ≤ 125 | 0.230 | ±90% | default | 12 |
| | | | Natural | > 125 | 0.246 | ±90% | default | 12 |
| | Sub-tropical Dry | North and South America | Natural | ≤ 125 | 0.336 | ±90% | default | 12 |
| | | | Natural | > 125 | 0.352 | 0.047 | SD | 12 |
| | | Asia | Natural | ≤ 125 | 0.440 | 0.184 | SD | 12 |
| | | | Natural | > 125 | 0.440 | 0.184 | SD | 12 |
| | Sub-tropical Steppe | North and South America | Natural | ≤ 125 | 1.338 | ±90% | default | 12 |
| | | Asia | Natural | > 125 | 1.338 | ±90% | default | 12 |
| | | | Planted | ≤ 125 | 2.158 | ±90% | default | 12 |
| Temperate | Europe | Natural/Planted (Other Broadleaf) | Natural/Planted (Other Broadleaf) | all size classes | 0.192 | ±90% | default | 24 |
| | | | Natural (Conifer) | ≤ 125 | 0.359 | ±90% | default | 12 |
| | | | Natural (Other Broadleaf) | >125 | 0.172 | ±90% | default | 12 |
| | | | Planted (Conifer) | >125 | 0.206 | ±90% | default | 12, 25, 26, 27 |
| | | Planted (Conifer) | Planted (Conifer) | all size classes | 0.359 | 0.145 | SD | 28 |
| | | | Planted (Quercus) | ≤ 125 | 1.400 | ±90% | default | 29 |
| | | North and South America | Natural (Conifer) | ≤ 125 | 0.337 | ±90% | default | 12 |
| | | | Natural (Conifer) | >125 | 0.338 | ±90% | default | 12 |
| | | | Natural (Other Broadleaf) | ≤ 125 | 0.466 | ±90% | default | 12, 30 |
| | | | Natural (Other Broadleaf) | >125 | 0.190 | ±90% | default | 12, 31 |
| | | | Planted (Conifer) | >125 | 0.203 | ±90% | default | 12, 32 |

TABLE 4.4 (UPDATED) (CONTINUED)
RATIO OF BELOW-GROUND BIOMASS TO ABOVE-GROUND BIOMASS (R) [TONNE ROOT D.M. (TONNE SHOOT D.M.)⁻¹]

| Domain | Ecological zone ¹ | Continent | Origin (Natural/Plantation) | Above-ground biomass (tonnes ha ⁻¹) | R [tonne root d.m. (tonne shoot d.m.) ⁻¹] | Uncertainty | Uncertainty type | References |
|---------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|-------------|------------------|------------|
| Temperat e | Oceanic | Oceania | Natural (Eucalyptus) | ≤ 125 | 0.464 | ±90% | default | 12 |
| | | | Natural (Eucalyptus) | >125 | 0.257 | ±90% | default | 12 |
| | | | Natural (Other Broadleaf) | ≤ 125 | 0.213 | ±90% | default | 34-36 |
| | | | Natural (Other Broadleaf) | >125 | 0.313 | ±90% | default | 37, 38 |
| | | | Planted (Conifer) | all size classes | 0.190 | ±90% | default | 39 |
| | | | Planted (Conifer) | ≤ 125 | 0.634 | ±90% | default | 12 |
| | | | Planted (Conifer) | >125 | 0.294 | ±90% | default | 12 |
| | | | Planted (Eucalyptus) | ≤ 125 | 0.391 | ±90% | default | 12 |
| | | | Natural (Eucalyptus) | >125 | 0.188 | ±90% | default | 12, 40 |
| | Continental | Europe | Natural (Quercus) | >125 | 0.477 | ±90% | default | 12 |
| | | | Planted (Conifer) | ≤ 125 | 0.340 | ±90% | default | 12 |
| | | North and South America | Natural (Other Broadleaf) | ≤ 125 | 0.481 | ±90% | default | 12 |
| | | | Natural (Other Broadleaf) | >125 | 0.277 | ±90% | default | 12 |
| | | | Planted (Conifer) | ≤ 125 | 0.237 | ±90% | default | 12 |
| | Oceanic Continental Mountain | Asia | Natural (Conifer) | ≤ 125 | 0.243 | ±90% | default | 33 |
| | Natural (Conifer) | >125 | 0.262 | ±90% | default | 33 | | |
| | Natural (Other Broadleaf) | ≤ 125 | 0.225 | ±90% | default | 33 | | |
| | Natural (Other Broadleaf) | >125 | 0.229 | ±90% | default | 33 | | |

TABLE 4.4 (UPDATED) (CONTINUED)
RATIO OF BELOW-GROUND BIOMASS TO ABOVE-GROUND BIOMASS (R) [TONNE ROOT D.M. (TONNE SHOOT D.M.)⁻¹]

| Domain | Ecological zone ¹ | Continent | Origin (Natural/Plantation) | Above-ground biomass (tonnes ha ⁻¹) | R [tonne root d.m. (tonne shoot d.m.) ⁻¹] | Uncertainty | Uncertainty type | References |
|-----------|---|-----------|-----------------------------|---|---|-------------|------------------|------------|
| Temperate | Oceanic Continental Mountain | Asia | Planted (Conifer) | ≤ 125 | 0.224 | ±90% | default | 33 |
| | | | Planted (Conifer) | >125 | 0.232 | ±90% | default | 33 |
| | | | Planted (other Broadleaf) | ≤ 125 | 0.307 | ±90% | default | 33 |
| | | | Planted (other Broadleaf) | >125 | 0.248 | ±90% | default | 33 |
| Boreal | Coniferous, tundra woodland, mountain systems | - | - | ≤ 75 | 0.390 | 0.23 - 0.96 | Range | 12, 46 |
| | | | | >75 | 0.240 | 0.15 - 0.37 | Range | 12, 46 |

¹ Forest Resources Assessment (FRA). (2015). Global Ecological Zones for FAO Forest Reporting 2010 Update. Forest Resources Assessment Working Paper 179.

References:

- 1Masota, A.M., et al., 2016; 2Njana, M.A., et al., 2015; 3Masota, A.M., et al., 2015; 4FAO, 2015; 5Sanquetta, et al., 2011; 6Saner, P., et al., 2012; 7Murdiyarso, M., et al., 2015; 8Kotowska, M.M., et al., 2015; 9Lu, X.T., et al., 2010; 10Niyyama K., et al., 2010; 11Krisnawati, H., et al., 2014; 12Mokany, K., et al., 2006; 13Wang, X.P., et al., 2008; 14Li, X., et al., 2010; 15Monda, Y., et al., 2016; 16Gautum, T.P., Mandal, T.N., 2016; 17Mugasha, W.A., et al., 2013; 18Malimbwi, R.E., et al., 2016; 19Makero, et al., 2016; 20Sato, T., et al., 2015; 21Moser, G., 2011; 22Iqbal, K., et al., 2014; 23Sharma, D.P., 2009; 24Skovsgaard, J.P., Nord-Larsen, T., 2012; 25Green C., et al., 2007; 26Urban, J., et al., 2015; 27Xiao, C.W., et al., 2003; 28Levy, P.E., et al., 2004; 29Cotillas, M., et al., 2016; 30Gargaglione, et al., 2010; 31Frangi, J.L., et al., 2005; 32Miller, A.T., et al., 2006; 33Luo, Y., et al., 2014; 34Schwendemann, L., Mitchell, N., 2014; 35Watson, A., O'Loughlin, C., 1985; 36Watson, A., 1995; 37Beets, P.N., 1980; 38Miller, R. B. 1963; 39Beets PN, et al. 2007; 40Oliver GR, et al. 2009; 41Battles, J. J., et al. 2002; 42Laclau P. 2003; 43Grimm, U., Fassbender, H., 1981, 44Edwards, P., Grubb, P., 1977; 45Scott, N.A., et al., 2005; 46Li, et al., 2003.

Source : 2019 Refinement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories

Table A-6 CO₂ Emissions from Fertilizer, ILakages and Effects of GHG emissions Reduction¹

| Project | Host Parties | fertilizer (tonnes of CO ₂ e) | Estimation of baseline net GHG removals by sinks (tonnes of CO ₂ e) | Estimation of actual net GHG removals by sinks (tonnes of CO ₂ e) | Estimation of leakage (tonnes of CO ₂ e) [A] | Estimation of net anthropogenic GHG removals by sinks (tonnes of CO ₂ e) [B] | Ratio of leakage [A]/[B] |
|--|--------------|---|--|--|---|---|-----------------------------|
| CARBON SEQUESTRATION THROUGH REFORESTATION IN THE | | | | | | | |
| Reforestation of croplands and grasslands in low income communities | Paraguay | 3 | 8,737 | 58,188 | 18,983 | 30,468 | 62% |
| Facilitating Reforestation for Guangxi Watershed Management in Pearl River Basin | China | zero | 531 | 794,225 | 19,852 | 773,842 | 3% |
| The International Small Group and Tree Planting Program (TIST), Tamil Nadu, India | India | zero | 0 | 107,810 | 0 | 107,810 | 0% |
| Moldova Soil Conservation Project | Moldova | zero | 109,962 | 3,702,513 | 7,705 | 3,584,846 | 0% |
| Southern Nicaragua CDM Reforestation Project | Nicaragua | zero | 0 | 237,448 | 0 | 237,448 | 0% |
| Uganda Nile Basin Reforestation Project No 3 | Uganda | zero | 0 | 111,798 | 0 | 111,798 | 0% |
| Reforestation, sustainable production and carbon sequestration project | Peru | zero | 171,545 | 1,145,332 | 0 | 973,788 | 0% |
| Reforestation on Degraded Lands in Northwest Guangxi | China | | 15,394 | 1,761,552 | 0 | 1,746,158 | 0% |
| Reforestation of grazing Lands in Santo Domingo, Argentina | Argentina | zero | 21,366 | 1,342,140 | 0 | 1,320,775 | 0% |
| Assisted Natural Regeneration of Degraded Lands in Albania | Albania | zero | 6,250 | 465,537 | 0 | 459,287 | 0% |
| " afforestation on degraded extensive grazing land | Uruguay | zero | 0 | 659 | 0 | 659 | 0% |
| and Productive Alternative for the City and the Region . | Columbia | zero | 0 | 755,678 | 0 | 755,678 | 0% |
| | Congo | zero | 0 | 1,635,338 | 0 | 1,635,338 | 0% |
| | Brasil | — | 59,257 | 4,788,332 | 0 | 4,729,074 | 0% |
| Humbo Ethiopia Assisted Natural Regeneration Project | Ethiopia | zero | 0 | 880,296 | 0 | 880,296 | 0% |
| Cao Phong Reforestation Project | Vietnam | 22 | 0 | 53,735 | 11,090 | 42,645 | 26% |
| | India | zero | 0 | 828,016 | 0 | 828,016 | 0% |
| Improving Rural Livelihoods Through Carbon Sequestration By Adopting Environment Friendly Technology based Agroforestry Practices | India | — | 0 | 146,888 | 0 | 146,888 | 0% |
| Reforestation as Renewable Source of Wood Supplies for Industrial Use in Brazil | Brasil | — | 751,894 | 30,409,091 | 15,522 | 2,273,493 | 1% |
| Argos CO ₂ Offset Project, through reforestation activities for commercial use. | Columbia | — | 133,021 | 1,079,384 | 23,100 | 923,263 | 3% |
| Small Scale Cooperative Afforestation CDM Pilot Project Activity on Private Lands Affected by Shifting Sand Dunes in Sirsa, Haryana. | India | zero | 43 | 29,785 | 0 | 231,920 | 0% |
| Nerquiuhue Small-Scale CDM Afforestation Project using Mycorrhizal Inoculation in Chile | Chile | zero | 0 | 185,836 | 0 | 185,836 | 0% |
| Forestry Project in Strategic Ecological Areas of the Colombian Caribbean Savannas | Columbia | zero | 279 | 1,999,849 | 0 | 1,999,571 | 0% |

¹ UNFCCC CDM <http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/projsearch.html>

Table A-7 Examples of Stratification in Afforestation Projects (CDM Project) ²

Country : Paraguay

Project participants : Japan International Research Center for Agricultural Sciences

Instituto Forestal Nacional (Public entity)

<https://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/DB/TUEV-SUED1245074838.6/view>

Title : Reforestation of croplands and grasslands in low income communities of Paraguari Department, Paraguay

CDM registered 2009

| Stratum | Tree species | Tree spacing(m) | Plant age | Forested area (ha) |
|---------|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------|--------------------|
| S1 | Eucalyptus grandis | 3.0×2.5 | 2007 | 30.05 |
| S2 | Eucalyptus grandis | 3.0×2.5 | 2008 | 31.17 |
| S3 | Eucalyptus camaldulensis | 3.0×2.5 | 2007 | 16.36 |
| S4 | Eucalyptus camaldulensis | 3.0×2.5 | 2008 | 64.48 |
| S5 | Grevillea robusta | 3.0×2.5 | 2007 | 5.59 |
| S6 | Grevillea robusta | 3.0×2.5 | 2008 | 15.16 |
| S7 | Grevillea robusta | 5.0×4.0 | 2007 | 14.05 |
| S8 | Grevillea robusta | 5.0×4.0 | 2008 | 38.30 |
| Total | | | | 215.16 |

Country : India

Project participants : Haryana CDM Variksh Kisan Samiti, Ellenabad, Sirsa

Title : Small Scale Cooperative Afforestation CDM Pilot Project Activity on Private Lands Affected by Shifting Sand Dunes in Sirsa, Haryana.

<https://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/DB/TUEV-SUED1229620290.53/view>

CDM registered 2008

| Stratum | Tree species | Tree spacing(m) | Plant age | Forested area (ha) |
|---------|----------------------------|-----------------|-----------|--------------------|
| S1 | <i>Eucalyptus hybrid</i> | | 2007 | 26.30 |
| S2 | <i>Ailanthus excelsa</i> | | 2007 | 57.86 |
| S3 | <i>Acacia tortilis</i> | | 2007 | 61.65 |
| S4 | <i>Dalbergia sissoo</i> | | 2007 | 53.65 |
| S5 | <i>Acacia nilotica</i> | | 2007 | 60.75 |
| S6 | <i>Prosopis cineraria</i> | | 2007 | 74.20 |
| S7 | <i>Zizyphus mauritiana</i> | | 2007 | 35.46 |
| Total | | | | 369.87 |

² UNFCCC: <http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/projsearch.html>

Country : Bolivia

Project participants : FECAR (community organization), (Private entity)

Foundation Centro Tecnico Forestal (CETEFOR) (Private entity)

Asociación Accidental Cetefor-Sicirec (Private entity)

Vlaams Gewest (Public entry)

Title : CARBON SEQUESTRATION THROUGH REFORESTATION IN THE BOLIVIAN TROPICS BY
SMALLHOLDERS OF “The Federación de Comunidades Agropecuarias de Rurrenabaque (FECAR)” Version
2.03
<https://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/DB/JACO1239802765.75/view>

CDM registered 2009

| Stratum | Tree species | Tree spacing(m) | Plant age | Forested area (ha) |
|---------|---|-----------------|-----------|--------------------|
| S1 | <i>Fast growing/ plantation</i> | — | — | — |
| S2 | <i>Fast growing/Agroforestry System</i> | — | — | — |
| S3 | <i>Fast growing/ Silvipastoral System</i> | — | — | — |
| S4 | <i>Midium growing/ plantation</i> | — | — | — |
| S5 | <i>Midium growing/Agroforestry System</i> | — | — | — |
| S6 | <i>Midium growing/ Silvipastoral System</i> | — | — | — |
| S7 | <i>Slow growing/ plantation</i> | — | — | — |
| S8 | <i>Slow growing/Agroforestry System</i> | — | — | — |
| S9 | <i>Slow growing/ Silvipastoral System</i> | — | — | — |
| Total | | | | 317ha |

Table A-8 Example of Stratification for Deforestation and Forest Degradation Projects

Comparison of land use category classification between IPCC and Ministry of Forestry, Indonesia

| 林業省 土地区分 | IPCC 土地区分対応 |
|---|---|
| 23 土地区分 | 6 土地区分 |
| <p>1. Forest (7 categories):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Primary Dry land Forest 2) Secondary Dry land Forest 3) Primary Mangrove Forest 4) Secondary Mangrove Forest 5) Primary Swamp Forest 6) Secondary Swamp Forest 7) Plantation Forest <p>2. Non-forest (14 categories):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 8) Agriculture dry land 9) Dry land Agriculture and shrubs 10) Plantation 11) Rice 12) shrub / scrub 13) Savanna 14) Kingfisher swamp 15) Swamp 16) Transmigration 17) Settlement 18) Pond 19) Land open 20) Mining 21) Port of air / sea <p>3. No data (category 2),</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 22) Cloud 23) No data | <p>1. Forest land (4 sub categories)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Dry land Forest 2) Mangrove Forest 3) Swamp Forest 4) Forest Plantation <p>2. Crop land (3 subcategories),</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 5) Dry land Agriculture 6) Plantation 7) Rice <p>3. Grass Land (1 sub categories),</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 8) Grass Land <p>4. Wetlands (1 sub categories),</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 9) Swamp <p>5. Settlements (1 sub categories),</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 10) Settlement <p>6. Other Lands (1 sub categories),</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 11) Pond, Cloud |

Source: Survey on REDD in Indonesia (Global Warming Mitigation Technology Promotion Projects in 2010 (METI))

Stratification and LULUC

| Class Identifier | | Average carbon density (tCO2.ha-1) | | |
|------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------|
| ID | Name | CD _{AB} | CD _{BB} | Total average carbon density |
| Native1 | Floresta Arbórea Densa | 90,99 | 379,13 | 470,13 |
| Native2 | Floresta Arbórea Aberta | 91,16 | 42,91 | 134,08 |
| Native3 | Vegetação gramínio-lenhosa | 51,87 | 16,03 | 67,90 |
| Native4 | Solo-exposto natural | 51,87 | 16,03 | 67,90 |
| Antrop1 | Área cultivada | N.A. | N.A. | 17,23 |
| Antrop2 | Pastagem | N.A. | N.A. | 27,75 |

Note:
CD_{AB} – Average Carbon Density in the above-ground biomass carbon pool; tCO2.ha-1
CD_{BB} – Average Carbon Density in the below-ground biomass carbon pool; tCO2.ha-1
N.A. – denotes Not Available
Sources:
Native1 to Native4 - Castro and Kauffman, 1998
Antrop1 and Antrop2 - IPCC, 2006

The reference, leakage and project emission are determined based on the aboveground and belowground biomasses stock changes as for 6 stratum defined

Source: REDD survey in Mato Grosso, Brasil (2009, CDM/JICA).

Stratification by Forest Types and Regions

**Arrangement of the national forest inventory data
Results (Mean AGB+BGB par Regions and F.Types)**

(CO₂t/ha)

| ※1 | ※2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 1 | | | | 181 | 157 | | | | | | | | 75 |
| 2 | 604 | 282 | 144 | 157 | 178 | | 279 | | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | | | | | 115 | | 104 | |
| 4 | 798 | 299 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | 508 | 275 | 158 | 131 | | 78 | 219 | 92 | | | | 67 | |
| 6 | 516 | 272 | 135 | 94 | | 66 | 118 | | | | 165 | 103 | |
| 7 | 417 | 272 | 171 | 116 | | 82 | 181 | 146 | | | | 70 | |
| 8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | | 271 | 110 | 115 | | 86 | 122 | | 105 | 4 | | 85 | |
| 10 | 465 | 282 | 158 | 148 | 196 | 138 | 249 | | | | | 94 | |
| 11 | 502 | 291 | 162 | 135 | 153 | 91 | 199 | 253 | 292 | | | 163 | |
| 12 | 511 | 280 | 120 | 128 | 189 | 104 | 240 | | 271 | | | 106 | |
| 14 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 102 |

* 1 (Bio-ecoregions): 1=Cardamom Mountains rain forests, 2=Central Indochina dry forests, 3=Indochina mangroves, 4=Luang Prabang montane rain forests, 5=Northern Annamites rain forests, 6=Northern Indochina subtropical forests, 7=Northern Vietnam lowland rain forests, 8=Red River freshwater swamp forests, 9=South China-Vietnam subtropical evergreen forests, 10=Southeastern Indochina dry evergreen forests, 11=Southern Annamites montane rain forests, 12=Southern Vietnam lowland dry forests, 14=Tonle Sap-Mekong peat swamp forests

* 2 (Forest types) : 1=Evergreen broadleaf forest(rich forest), 2=Evergreen broadleaf forest(medium forest), 3=Evergreen broadleaf forest(poor forest), 4=Evergreen broadleaf forest(rehabilitation forest), 5=Deciduous forest, 6=Bamboo forest, 7=Mixed timber and bamboo forest, 8=Coniferous forest, 9=Mixed broadleaf and coniferous forest, 10=Mangrove forest, 11=Limestone forest, 12=Plantation

Source : Baseline Scenario Survey in Vietnam (Japan Forest Technology Association)