Rating criteria and overview of main items examined

		Reasoning		
		③	②	①
Relevance	Validity of aid (relevance with development policy of recipient country, Japan's ODA policy, and JICA's aid strategy)	Fully relevant	Partially relevant	Serious problems in consistency
	Relevance with development needs (needs of beneficiary, project area, and community)			
Effectiveness/ Impact	Achievement of expected project outcomes in target year (including use of facilities and equipment)	Objectives largely achieved, and project generated outcomes (80% or more of plan)	Some objectives achieved, but some outcomes were not generated (between 50% and 80% of plan)	Achievement of objectives was limited, and project did not generate outcomes (50% or less than plan)
	Status of indirect positive and negative outcomes	Project generated indirect outcomes as assumed / no negative impacts	Some problems with indirect outcomes generated / some negative impacts	Problems with indirect outcomes generated / grave negative impacts
Efficiency	Comparison of planned and actual project inputs, project period and project cost, etc.	Efficient (100% or less than the plan)	Partially inefficient (between 100% and 150% of plan)	Inefficient (exceeding 150% of plan)
Sustainability	Institutional sustainability (e.g., structure / skills / HR of organization)	Sustainability is ensured	Some problems, but prospects of improvement exist	Insufficient
	Financial sustainability (availability of operation and maintenance budget)			

Note: The criteria and items examined differ by assistance scheme and project.

Rating flowchart