



Depends on risk. Areas prone to tsunami should have frequent drills. Every month in schools, etc.

Learn to save life
tsunami response plan needed
take alarm of warning seriously, etc.

“Interesting” was the highest response and “studied lots” the second highest of the impression of Tsunami evacuation drill (Q.3). For Q.7 “What did you learn?” has the responses “importance of drill”, “danger of tsunami” and “evacuation route” as the first three responses, which means attendees of this evacuation drill understood the points the Van-REDI project intended to inform. The “evacuation route” is the most important point attendees are expected to learn through this evacuation drill, to be able to move by themselves and be aware of what they need to do when an evacuation becomes a reality.

Regarding the siren (Q.4), it was “appropriate” and the length (Q.5) was “enough” that the majority of attendees responded. Most attendees reported that the siren from the megaphone for 3 minutes was appropriate. On the other hand, 1/4 responded that the length of siren (Q.5) was too short. Therefore, it is good to reconsider the length of warning signal.

For the frequency of the drill (Q.6), “once a year” and “twice a year” are the main responses which means that attendees understood the necessity and the importance of continuation of the Tsunami evacuation drill.